



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 69 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 49

Survey Date: 26 to 30 March 2021

Release Date: 1 April 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 69 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 49 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. PORI is supported by the Hong Kong Professional Teachers' Union in providing information and conducting this survey namely the "Liberal Studies Subject Reform Opinion Survey".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	26 March, 3pm – end of 30 March
Total sample size	4,982
Response rate	5.3%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{4,982}{94,598} \times 100.0\%$
=	5.3%

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1-Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 26-30/3/2021

Q1-Q3 How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject?	Q1 Reorganise and reduce the curriculum content to half of the original Combined ^[2] (Base =4,764)	Q2 Remove some of the issues on livelihood and socio-political participation Combined ^[2] (Base =4,747)	Q3 Introduce plenty of contents on the sense of national identity Combined ^[2] (Base=4,746)
Strongly support	17%	12%	20%
Somewhat support } Support	13% } 30%	9% } 22%	15% } 35%
Half-half	10%	14%	14%
Somewhat oppose	18%	13%	11%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	36% } 54%	49% } 62%	38% } 48%
Don't know / hard to say	7%	2%	2%
Mean ^[3]	2.5	2.2	2.7

[2] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[3] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1-Q3 Combined chart





Table 3: Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 26-30/3/2021

Q4 How much do you agree or disagree with this saying: "There were problems with the liberal studies subject since the first day"?	Combined ^[4] (Base=4,757)
Strongly agree	18%
Somewhat agree } Agree	15%
Half-half	18%
Somewhat disagree } Disagree	10%
Strongly disagree	35%
Don't know / hard to say	4%
Mean ^[5]	2.7

[4] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[5] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q4 Combined chart



Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,337	53.9%	971	50.9%	295	59.0%	1,282	49.5%	2,632	54.4%	2,253	50.1%
Female	1,997	46.0%	933	48.9%	203	40.6%	1,309	50.5%	2,200	45.5%	2,242	49.8%
Other	5	0.1%	4	0.2%	2	0.4%	1	<0.1%	7	0.1%	5	0.1%
Total	4,339	100.0%	1,908	100.0%	500	100.0%	2,592	100.0%	4,839	100.0%	4,500	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	579	13.4%	552	28.9%	30	6.0%	235	9.1%	609	12.6%	787	17.5%
30 - 39	998	23.0%	317	16.6%	83	16.6%	237	9.1%	1,081	22.4%	554	12.3%
40 - 49	1,142	26.3%	361	18.9%	116	23.2%	521	20.1%	1,258	26.0%	882	19.6%
50 - 59	1,019	23.5%	454	23.8%	146	29.2%	1,020	39.3%	1,165	24.1%	1,473	32.7%
60 or above	598	13.8%	223	11.7%	125	25.0%	580	22.4%	723	15.0%	804	17.9%
Total	4,336	100.0%	1,907	100.0%	500	100.0%	2,592	100.0%	4,836	100.0%	4,500	100.0%
Missing case(s)	4	0%	1	0%	0		0		4		1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	11	0.3%	10	0.5%	3	0.6%	6	0.2%	14	0.3%	15	0.3%
Secondary	659	15.2%	591	31.0%	102	20.4%	619	23.9%	761	15.7%	1,210	26.9%
Tertiary or above	3,667	84.6%	1,306	68.5%	394	79.0%	1,966	75.9%	4,061	84.0%	3,272	72.7%
Total	4,337	100.0%	1,907	100.0%	499	100.0%	2,591	100.0%	4,836	100.0%	4,497	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3	0%	1	0%	1		2		4		3	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	1,727	39.9%	332	17.4%	178	35.7%	691	26.7%	1,905	39.4%	1,023	22.8%
Clerks and service workers	1,138	26.3%	533	27.9%	115	23.0%	478	18.4%	1,253	25.9%	1,010	22.5%
Workers	100	2.3%	174	9.1%	14	2.8%	95	3.7%	114	2.4%	269	6.0%
Students	147	3.4%	324	17.0%	11	2.2%	161	6.2%	158	3.3%	485	10.8%
Home-makers / housewives	205	4.7%	128	6.7%	17	3.4%	220	8.5%	222	4.6%	348	7.7%
Others	1,014	23.4%	416	21.8%	164	32.9%	946	36.5%	1,178	24.4%	1,362	30.3%
Total	4,331	100.0%	1,907	100.0%	499	100.0%	2,591	100.0%	4,830	100.0%	4,497	100.0%
Missing case(s)	9		2		1		2		10		3	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	182	4.2%	46	2.5%	16	3.2%	27	1.0%	198	4.1%	73	1.6%
Wan Chai	93	2.2%	21	1.1%	13	2.6%	43	1.7%	106	2.2%	64	1.4%
Eastern	424	9.9%	181	9.6%	58	11.7%	242	9.3%	482	10.0%	423	9.5%
Southern	160	3.7%	44	2.3%	16	3.2%	150	5.8%	176	3.7%	193	4.3%
Yau Tsim Mong	183	4.3%	84	4.5%	19	3.8%	67	2.6%	202	4.2%	151	3.4%
Sham Shui Po	212	4.9%	107	5.7%	28	5.6%	236	9.1%	240	5.0%	343	7.7%
Kowloon City	245	5.7%	45	2.4%	31	6.2%	191	7.4%	276	5.8%	236	5.3%
Wong Tai Sin	226	5.3%	107	5.7%	23	4.6%	58	2.2%	249	5.2%	164	3.7%
Kwun Tong	314	7.3%	296	15.8%	38	7.6%	187	7.2%	352	7.3%	483	10.8%
Tsuen Wan	224	5.2%	102	5.4%	28	5.6%	159	6.1%	252	5.3%	261	5.8%
Tuen Mun	244	5.7%	182	9.7%	30	6.0%	160	6.2%	274	5.7%	342	7.6%
Yuen Long	268	6.2%	44	2.3%	45	9.1%	344	13.3%	313	6.5%	388	8.7%
North	135	3.1%	73	3.9%	17	3.4%	98	3.8%	152	3.2%	171	3.8%
Tai Po	195	4.5%	50	2.7%	22	4.4%	39	1.5%	217	4.5%	89	2.0%
Sai Kung	353	8.2%	138	7.3%	26	5.2%	165	6.4%	379	7.9%	303	6.8%
Sha Tin	514	12.0%	232	12.3%	60	12.1%	233	9.0%	574	12.0%	466	10.4%
Kwai Tsing	230	5.3%	107	5.7%	20	4.0%	139	5.4%	250	5.2%	245	5.5%
Islands	98	2.3%	22	1.2%	7	1.4%	53	2.0%	105	2.2%	75	1.7%
Total	4,300	100.0%	1,882	100.0%	497	100.0%	2,591	100.0%	4,797	100.0%	4,472	100.0%
Missing case(s)	40		26		3		1		43		28	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,511	57.9%	1,243	65.2%	0	0%	0	0%	2,511	51.9%	1,243	27.6%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	40	8.0%	415	16.0%	40	0.8%	415	9.2%
Localist	1,829	42.1%	665	34.8%	0	0%	0	0%	1,829	37.8%	665	14.8%
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	170	34.0%	858	33.1%	170	3.5%	858	19.1%
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0%	0	0%	290	58.0%	1,320	50.9%	290	6.0%	1,320	29.3%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	4,340	100.0%	1,908	100.0%	500	100.0%	2,592	100.0%	4,840	100.0%	4,500	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	4,121	95.2%	1,045	55.2%	295	59.0%	261	10.1%	4,416	91.5%	1,307	29.1%
Non-democratic	8	0.2%	28	1.5%	100	20.0%	1,287	49.6%	108	2.2%	1,315	29.3%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	133	3.1%	352	18.6%	75	15.0%	709	27.4%	208	4.3%	1,061	23.7%
Not a registered voter	65	1.5%	469	24.8%	30	6.0%	335	12.9%	95	2.0%	804	17.9%
Total	4,327	100.0%	1,895	100.0%	500	100.0%	2,592	100.0%	4,827	100.0%	4,487	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	4,982
Survey period	26-30/3/2021
Success rate	5.3%
Questionnaires sent out	94,598
Questionnaires received	5,408
Ineligible samples	30
Invalid samples	273
Incomplete samples	123
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	4.1%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 26-30/3/2021

Q1 How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject? [Reorganise and reduce the curriculum content to half of the original]	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,719)	Percentages (Base=2,663)	Percentages (Base=4,382)
Strongly support	3.6%	25.3%	16.8%
Somewhat support	4.0%	19.2%	13.2%
Half-half	10.4%	9.0%	9.5%
Somewhat oppose	17.5%	18.1%	17.9%
Strongly oppose	58.8%	21.1%	35.9%
Don't know / hard to say	5.7%	7.3%	6.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.7	3.1	2.5
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	2.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	44	45
Net value (Support- Oppose)	-68.7%	+5.2%	-23.8%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 26-30/3/2021

Q2 How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject? [Remove some of the issues on livelihood and socio-political participation]	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,719)	Percentages (Base=2,663)	Percentages (Base=4,382)
Strongly support } Support	3.6%	17.5%	12.1%
Somewhat support	4.0%	13.0%	9.4%
Half-half	3.8%	20.5%	14.0%
Somewhat oppose } Oppose	9.1%	15.6%	13.0%
Strongly oppose	79.5%	29.5%	49.1%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%	3.9%	2.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.4	2.7	2.2
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	1.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	1	45	45
Net value (Support- Oppose)	-81.1%	-14.5%	-40.6%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 26-30/3/2021

Q3 How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject? [Introduce plenty of contents on the sense of national identity]	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,718)	Percentages (Base=2,660)	Percentages (Base=4,378)
Strongly support } Support	6.9%	28.2%	19.8%
Somewhat support	3.2%	22.9%	15.2%
Half-half	10.6%	16.7%	14.3%
Somewhat oppose } Oppose	15.9%	7.3%	10.7%
Strongly oppose	63.3%	21.4%	37.8%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%	3.7%	2.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.7	3.3	2.6
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	4.0	2.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	1	47	48
Net value (Support- Oppose)	-69.1%	+22.4%	-13.5%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 26-30/3/2021

Q4 How much do you agree or disagree with this saying: “There were problems with the liberal studies subject since the first day”?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,719)	Percentages (Base=2,663)	Percentages (Base=4,382)
Strongly agree	6.2%	25.4%	17.8%
Somewhat agree	7.6%	19.4%	14.8%
Half-half	19.2%	17.3%	18.0%
Somewhat disagree	14.3%	7.3%	10.0%
Strongly disagree	48.6%	26.8%	35.4%
Don't know / hard to say	4.1%	3.8%	3.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	2.0	3.1	2.6
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	3.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	1	45	45
Net value (Agree- Disagree)	-49.1%	+10.6%	-12.8%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (26-30/3/2021)

HKPORI: We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral, we welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again at any time to express your latest views, and your last submission will be collated. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk .

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx xx:xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

*Required

(Registered member) Panel ID: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled ID)

(Registered member) Invitation token: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled token)

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> *

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

Eligibility Confirmation

(New member)

Email used / will be used in registration: *

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers

How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject?

(a) Reorganise and reduce the curriculum content to half of the original

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject?

(b) Remove some of the issues on livelihood and socio-political participation

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you support or oppose the following reform recommendations on the senior secondary liberal studies subject?

(c) Introduce plenty of contents on the sense of national identity

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you agree or disagree with this saying: "There were problems with the liberal studies subject since the first day"?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Half-half
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer. If you have another answer, please fill in the last item.)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)
- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Community Health

Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?

- Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally → [Skip questions related to this regulation](#)
- No, it should depend on the epidemic situation
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?

[The pandemic should be at its worst; For reference, there are 9 newly confirmed cases on March 25, 2021]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?

[The number of newly confirmed cases should be fewer than that in the previous question]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?

[The number of newly confirmed cases should be fewer than that in the previous question]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?

[The number of newly confirmed cases should be fewer than that in the previous question]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

Other Opinions

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam? [For weighting purposes only]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 8888)

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current political condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current economic condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current livelihood condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any needs which you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other persons you name here? (Please write down your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study, we simply want to bridge people who need help with people who can help.)

Personal Information (Registered member)

The following section is about personal information, including: gender, age, educational attainment, occupation, political inclination, area of residence, voting behaviour in the 2019 District Council Election. If you have already provided relevant information in the previous PopPanel survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now

Personal Information**Gender**

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

Age range

(If prefer not to provide exact age)

- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Area of residence

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk or call us at 3844 3111 during office hours.