



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 72 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 51

Survey Date: 9 to 14 April 2021

Release Date: 16 April 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world.
HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information.
The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP).
“POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 72 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 51 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The research is co-organized with the Concerning Grassroots's Housing Rights Alliance on the theme "Tenancy Control of Subdivided Units".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	9 April, 3pm – 14 April, 3pm
Total sample size	6,685
Response rate	7.1%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{6,685}{94,246} \times 100.0\%$
=	7.1%

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 9-14/4/2021

Q1 Are you a tenant or landlord of subdivided unit(s), or have you come into contact with such people?	Combined ^[2] (Base=6,265)
I am living in (or lived in) a subdivided unit	4%
I am (or was) a landlord of subdivided unit(s)	<1%
I have come into contact with tenants or landlords of subdivided unit(s)	29%
None of the above	66%
Don't know / hard to say	1%

[2] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart





Table 4: Q2-Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 9-14/4/2021

Q2-Q3 The Task Force for the Study on Tenancy Control of Subdivided Units recommends[...] How much do you support or oppose this recommendation?	Q2 Enacting a new law that gives tenants of subdivided units a right to renew the tenancy once, meaning they can continue with the tenancy for two years Combined ^[3] (Base=6,277)	Q3 Enacting a new law that caps the rate of rent increase on tenancy renewal to 15%, and also to the rate of increase of the private domestic rental index Combined ^[3] (Base=6,258)
Strongly support	17%	32%
Somewhat support } Support	34% } 51%	37% } 69%
Half-half	24%	12%
Somewhat oppose	3%	5%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	6% } 9%	6% } 12%
Don't know / hard to say	16%	8%
Mean^[4]	3.6	3.9

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2-Q3 Combined chart



Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	3,006	53.3%	1,008	42.6%	359	55.0%	1,852	51.9%	3,365	53.5%	2,860	48.2%
Female	2,626	46.6%	1,355	57.3%	292	44.7%	1,718	48.1%	2,918	46.4%	3,074	51.8%
Other	4	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	<1	<0.1%	6	0.1%	1	<0.1%
Total	5,636	100.0%	2,365	100.0%	653	100.0%	3,570	100.0%	6,289	100.0%	5,935	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	972	17.3%	625	26.4%	56	8.6%	365	10.2%	1,028	16.4%	989	16.7%
30 - 39	1,327	23.6%	321	13.6%	132	20.2%	422	11.8%	1,459	23.2%	743	12.5%
40 - 49	1,375	24.4%	389	16.5%	155	23.7%	691	19.4%	1,530	24.4%	1,080	18.2%
50 - 59	1,242	22.1%	648	27.4%	174	26.6%	1,192	33.4%	1,416	22.5%	1,840	31.0%
60 or above	712	12.7%	381	16.1%	136	20.8%	901	25.2%	848	13.5%	1,282	21.6%
Total	5,628	100.0%	2,364	100.0%	653	100.0%	3,570	100.0%	6,281	100.0%	5,933	100.0%
Missing case(s)	8	0%	1	0%	0		0		8		1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	13	0.2%	10	0.4%	5	0.8%	58	1.6%	18	0.3%	68	1.1%
Secondary	875	15.5%	828	35.1%	132	20.5%	966	27.1%	1,007	16.0%	1,794	30.3%
Tertiary or above	4,743	84.2%	1,521	64.5%	508	78.8%	2,538	71.2%	5,251	83.7%	4,059	68.6%
Total	5,631	100.0%	2,359	100.0%	645	100.0%	3,563	100.0%	6,276	100.0%	5,922	100.0%
Missing case(s)	5	0%	6	0%	8		7		13		13	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,168	38.5%	447	19.0%	235	36.3%	1,013	28.4%	2,403	38.3%	1,461	24.7%
Clerks and service workers	1,482	26.3%	623	26.5%	171	26.4%	759	21.3%	1,653	26.3%	1,382	23.3%
Workers	128	2.3%	149	6.3%	19	2.9%	169	4.7%	147	2.3%	318	5.4%
Students	313	5.6%	183	7.8%	18	2.8%	209	5.9%	331	5.3%	392	6.6%
Home-makers / housewives	261	4.6%	365	15.5%	23	3.6%	179	5.0%	284	4.5%	544	9.2%
Others	1,276	22.7%	587	24.9%	181	28.0%	1,238	34.7%	1,457	23.2%	1,825	30.8%
Total	5,628	100.0%	2,355	100.0%	647	100.0%	3,567	100.0%	6,275	100.0%	5,922	100.0%
Missing case(s)	8		10		6		3		14		13	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	222	4.0%	83	3.6%	28	4.4%	104	2.9%	250	4.0%	187	3.2%
Wan Chai	129	2.3%	25	1.1%	16	2.5%	158	4.5%	145	2.3%	183	3.1%
Eastern	539	9.7%	136	5.9%	63	9.8%	352	9.9%	602	9.7%	488	8.3%
Southern	206	3.7%	51	2.2%	28	4.4%	164	4.6%	234	3.8%	215	3.7%
Yau Tsim Mong	224	4.0%	166	7.2%	25	3.9%	95	2.7%	249	4.0%	261	4.4%
Sham Shui Po	264	4.7%	60	2.6%	41	6.4%	147	4.1%	305	4.9%	207	3.5%
Kowloon City	299	5.4%	27	1.2%	46	7.2%	347	9.8%	345	5.6%	375	6.4%
Wong Tai Sin	300	5.4%	116	5.0%	24	3.7%	59	1.7%	324	5.2%	175	3.0%
Kwun Tong	411	7.4%	327	14.1%	51	7.9%	384	10.8%	462	7.4%	711	12.1%
Tsuen Wan	268	4.8%	98	4.2%	40	6.2%	237	6.7%	308	5.0%	335	5.7%
Tuen Mun	360	6.5%	230	9.9%	43	6.7%	158	4.4%	403	6.5%	387	6.6%
Yuen Long	381	6.9%	163	7.0%	47	7.3%	342	9.6%	428	6.9%	506	8.6%
North	178	3.2%	62	2.7%	20	3.1%	135	3.8%	198	3.2%	197	3.4%
Tai Po	253	4.6%	190	8.2%	31	4.8%	149	4.2%	284	4.6%	339	5.8%
Sai Kung	440	7.9%	129	5.6%	32	5.0%	259	7.3%	472	7.6%	387	6.6%
Sha Tin	661	11.9%	249	10.8%	70	10.9%	214	6.0%	731	11.8%	463	7.9%
Kwai Tsing	305	5.5%	161	7.0%	24	3.7%	86	2.4%	329	5.3%	247	4.2%
Islands	120	2.2%	45	2.0%	13	2.0%	161	4.5%	133	2.1%	207	3.5%
Total	5,560	100.0%	2,319	100.0%	642	100.0%	3,553	100.0%	6,202	100.0%	5,871	100.0%
Missing case(s)	76		46		11		17		87		64	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,216	57.1%	1,654	69.9%	0	0%	0	0%	3,216	51.1%	1,654	27.9%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	38	5.8%	433	12.1%	38	0.6%	433	7.3%
Localist	2,420	42.9%	711	30.1%	0	0%	0	0%	2,420	38.5%	711	12.0%
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	228	34.9%	988	27.7%	228	3.6%	988	16.6%
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0%	0	0%	387	59.3%	2,149	60.2%	387	6.2%	2,149	36.2%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	5,636	100.0%	2,365	100.0%	653	100.0%	3,570	100.0%	6,289	100.0%	5,935	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	5,282	94.6%	1,261	53.7%	402	61.8%	365	10.4%	5,684	91.2%	1,626	27.7%
Non-democratic	13	0.2%	68	2.9%	103	15.8%	1,632	46.3%	116	1.9%	1,699	28.9%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	203	3.6%	496	21.1%	105	16.2%	1,005	28.5%	308	4.9%	1,501	25.6%
Not a registered voter	87	1.6%	523	22.3%	40	6.2%	523	14.8%	127	2.0%	1,046	17.8%
Total	5,585	100.0%	2,348	100.0%	650	100.0%	3,525	100.0%	6,235	100.0%	5,873	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	6,685
Survey period	9-14/4/2021
Success rate	7.1%
Questionnaires sent out	94,246
Questionnaires received	7,402
Ineligible samples	13
Invalid samples	328
Incomplete samples	376
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.6%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 9/4-14/4/2021

Q1 Are you a tenant or landlord of subdivided unit(s), or have you come into contact with such people?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,331)	Percentages (Base=3,568)	Percentages (Base=5,899)
I am living in (or lived in) a subdivided unit	6.8%	1.7%	3.7%
I am (or was) a landlord of subdivided unit(s)	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
I have come into contact with tenants or landlords of subdivided unit(s)	29.6%	28.7%	29.1%
None of the above	63.3%	67.7%	66.0%
Don't know / hard to say	0.1%	1.7%	1.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	34	2	36

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 9/4-14/4/2021

Q2 The Task Force for the Study on Tenancy Control of Subdivided Units recommends enacting a new law that gives tenants of subdivided units a right to renew the tenancy once, meaning they can continue with the tenancy for two years. How much do you support or oppose this recommendation?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,364)	Percentages (Base=3,570)	Percentages (Base=5,934)
Strongly support	13.5%	19.0%	16.8%
Somewhat support	27.8%	37.8%	33.8%
Half-half	24.9%	23.3%	24.0%
Somewhat oppose	3.0%	3.7%	3.4%
Strongly oppose	7.2%	5.0%	5.8%
Don't know / hard to say	23.7%	11.3%	16.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	3.5	3.7	3.6
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	4.0	4.0	4.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	1	<1	1
Net value (Support- Oppose)	+31.1%	+48.1%	+41.3%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 9/4-14/4/2021

Q3 The Task Force for the Study on Tenancy Control of Subdivided Units recommends enacting a new law that caps the rate of rent increase on tenancy renewal to 15%, and also to the rate of increase of the private domestic rental index. How much do you support or oppose this recommendation?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,331)	Percentages (Base=3,568)	Percentages (Base=5,898)
Strongly support } Support Somewhat support Half-half Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose } Oppose Don't know / hard to say	29.9% } 29.3% } 59.2% 14.6% 6.5% } 10.2% } 16.7% 9.5%	34.1% } 41.2% } 75.3% 9.5% 4.5% } 4.1% } 8.6% 6.7%	32.4% } 36.5% } 68.9% 11.5% 5.3% } 6.5% } 11.8% 7.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	3.7	4.0	3.9
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	4.0	4.0	4.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	34	2	36
Net value (Support- Oppose)	+42.5%	+66.7%	+57.2%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (9/4-14/4/2021)

HKPORI: We Hongkongers Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral, we welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again at any time to express your latest views, and your last submission will be collated. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk .

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx xx:xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

(Registered member) Panel ID: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled ID)

(Registered member) Invitation token: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled token)

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> *

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

Eligibility Confirmation

(New member)

Email used / will be used in registration: *

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers

Are you a tenant or landlord of subdivided unit(s), or have you come into contact with such people?

- I am living in (or lived in) a subdivided unit
- I am (or was) a landlord of subdivided unit(s)
- I have come into contact with tenants or landlords of subdivided unit(s)
- None of the above
- Don't know / hard to say

The Task Force for the Study on Tenancy Control of Subdivided Units recommends enacting a new law that gives tenants of subdivided units a right to renew the tenancy once, meaning they can continue with the tenancy for two years. How much do you support or oppose this recommendation?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

The Task Force for the Study on Tenancy Control of Subdivided Units recommends enacting a new law that caps the rate of rent increase on tenancy renewal to 15%, and also to the rate of increase of the private domestic rental index. How much do you support or oppose this recommendation?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health**How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?**

(Please select the closest answer. If you have another answer, please fill in the last item.)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)
- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Other Opinions**How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?**

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam? [For weighting purposes]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 8888)

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current political condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current economic condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current livelihood condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any needs which you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other persons you name here? (Please write down your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study, we simply want to bridge people who need help with people who can help.)

Personal Information (Registered member)

The following section is about personal information, including: gender, age, educational attainment, occupation, political inclination, area of residence, voting behaviour in the 2019 District Council Election. If you have already provided relevant information in the previous PopPanel survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now

Personal Information**Gender**

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

Age range

(If prefer not to provide exact age)

- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Area of residence

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk or call us at 3844 3111 during office hours.