

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 75 cum "We Hongkongers" Research Report No. 53

Survey Date: 3 to 6 May 2021

Release Date: 7 May 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). "POP" in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 75 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 53 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. It is the third research co-organized with the Election Observation Project on the theme "Electoral Reform".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	3 May, 3pm – 6 May, 3pm
Total sample size	7,393
Response rate	7.8%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

^[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the "HKPOP Panel" recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses "HKPOP Panel" as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.


All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)



.

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above

- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong

- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 3-6/5/2021


Q1 The government will amend I system soon. In general, how mu or oppose these amendments?			ocracy camp (Base=6,268)	_	mocracy camp ^[2] (Base=732)	Combined ^[3] (Base=7,000)		
Strongly support Somewhat support	} Support	4% <1%	}4%	18% 26%	}44%	13% 17%	}30%	
Half-half Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	} Oppose	8% 89%	}96%	10% 29%	39%	9% 50%	} }59%	
Don't know / hard Mean ^[4]	Don't know / hard to say Mean ^[4]		1.2	 	5% 2.9	2.3		

^[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

^[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 3-6/5/2021


Q2 The government is planning to to not vote or cast blank or spoilt v legislation. How much do you su such legislation?	votes through		ocracy camp (Base=6,268)	_	mocracy camp ^[5] (Base=732)	Combined ^[6] (Base=7,000)		
Strongly support Somewhat support Half-half	} Support	4% <1%	}4% 3%	30% 21%	} 51% 9%	21% 14%	}34%	
Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose Don't know / hard	} Oppose to say	12% 79%	}91%	9% 28%	} 37%	10% 46%	}56%	
Mean ^[7]			1.4		3.2	2.5		

^[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

^[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total

		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw	sample	Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted samp	
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male		2,880	53.6%	1,038	46.0%	338	55.9%	1,731	50.8%	3,218	53.8%	2,769	48.9%
Female		2,485	46.2%	1,217	53.9%	265	43.8%	1,674	49.1%	2,750	46.0%	2,892	51.1%
Other		8	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	<0.1%	10	0.2%	2	< 0.1%
	Total	5,373	100.0%	2,257	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,978	100.0%	5,664	100.0%
	Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------

	Raw	Raw sample		ed sample	Raw	sample	Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	755	14.1%	701	31.1%	40	6.6%	314	9.2%	795	13.3%	1,015	17.9%
30 - 39	1,257	23.4%	268	11.9%	116	19.2%	445	13.1%	1,373	23.0%	713	12.6%
40 - 49	1,365	25.4%	359	15.9%	149	24.6%	694	20.4%	1,514	25.3%	1,052	18.6%
50 - 59	1,258	23.4%	588	26.0%	161	26.6%	1,150	33.8%	1,419	23.7%	1,737	30.7%
60 or above	736	13.7%	341	15.1%	139	23.0%	804	23.6%	875	14.6%	1,146	20.2%
Total	5,371	100.0%	2,257	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,976	100.0%	5,664	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3	0%	<1	0%	0		0		3		<1	

Education attainment:	Education attainment: Pro-democracy camp supporters							upporters #	Total				
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weighted samp		
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Primary or below	10	0.2%	6	0.3%	4	0.7%	13	0.4%	14	0.2%	19	0.3%	
Secondary	827	15.4%	735	32.6%	129	21.4%	927	27.3%	956	16.0%	1,662	29.4%	
Tertiary or above	4,530	84.4%	1,512	67.1%	470	77.9%	2,459	72.4%	5,000	83.8%	3,971	70.3%	
Total	5,367	100.0%	2,253	100.0%	603	100.0%	3,399	100.0%	5,970	100.0%	5,652	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	7	0%	4	0%	2		8		9		12		

Occupation:	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pr	o-democrac	cy camp si	apporters #	‡ Total				
	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Administrators and	2,143	39.9%	293	13.0%	219	36.2%	1,021	30.0%	2,362	39.6%	1,314	23.2%	
professionals	2,143	39.970	293	13.0%	219	30.270	1,021	30.0%	2,302	39.0%	1,314	23.270	
Clerks and service	1,441	26.8%	624	27.7%	155	25.6%	808	23.7%	1,596	26.7%	1,433	25.3%	
workers	1,441	20.6%	024	27.770	133	23.070	000	23.170	1,390	20.770	1,433	23.370	
Workers	109	2.0%	137	6.1%	17	2.8%	118	3.5%	126	2.1%	255	4.5%	
Students	194	3.6%	226	10.0%	10	1.7%	171	5.0%	204	3.4%	398	7.0%	
Home-makers /	254	4.7%	343	15.2%	16	2.6%	208	6.1%	270	4.5%	551	9.7%	
housewives	234	4.7%	343	13.2%	10	2.0%	208	0.1%	270	4.5%	331	9.1%	
Others	1,226	22.8%	630	28.0%	188	31.1%	1,080	31.7%	1,414	23.7%	1,710	30.2%	
Total	5,367	100.0%	2,253	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,972	100.0%	5,660	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	7		3		0		0		7		3		

District:	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pr	o-democrac	cy camp si	upporters #	Total				
	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Central & Western	219	4.1%	68	3.1%	18	3.0%	107	3.2%	237	4.0%	175	3.1%	
Wan Chai	122	2.3%	50	2.3%	16	2.7%	92	2.7%	138	2.3%	142	2.5%	
Eastern	538	10.1%	122	5.5%	72	12.0%	353	10.4%	610	10.3%	475	8.5%	
Southern	211	4.0%	15	0.7%	23	3.8%	130	3.8%	234	4.0%	144	2.6%	
Yau Tsim Mong	222	4.2%	117	5.3%	27	4.5%	106	3.1%	249	4.2%	223	4.0%	
Sham Shui Po	258	4.9%	158	7.1%	37	6.2%	138	4.1%	295	5.0%	296	5.3%	
Kowloon City	295	5.6%	58	2.6%	32	5.3%	271	8.0%	327	5.5%	328	5.9%	
Wong Tai Sin	268	5.0%	111	5.0%	30	5.0%	111	3.3%	298	5.0%	222	4.0%	
Kwun Tong	392	7.4%	251	11.4%	48	8.0%	370	10.9%	440	7.4%	621	11.1%	
Tsuen Wan	278	5.2%	127	5.8%	37	6.2%	176	5.2%	315	5.3%	303	5.4%	
Tuen Mun	317	6.0%	229	10.4%	36	6.0%	179	5.3%	353	6.0%	408	7.3%	
Yuen Long	345	6.5%	169	7.7%	46	7.7%	384	11.3%	391	6.6%	554	9.9%	
North	154	2.9%	28	1.3%	20	3.3%	128	3.8%	174	2.9%	157	2.8%	
Tai Po	228	4.3%	181	8.2%	28	4.7%	190	5.6%	256	4.3%	370	6.6%	
Sai Kung	424	8.0%	108	4.9%	36	6.0%	222	6.6%	460	7.8%	330	5.9%	
Sha Tin	637	12.0%	225	10.2%	60	10.0%	204	6.0%	697	11.8%	429	7.7%	
Kwai Tsing	291	5.5%	154	7.0%	24	4.0%	152	4.5%	315	5.3%	305	5.4%	
Islands	110	2.1%	40	1.8%	10	1.7%	79	2.3%	120	2.0%	119	2.1%	
Total	5,309	100.0%	2,210	100.0%	600	100.0%	3,392	100.0%	5,909	100.0%	5,602	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	65		47		5		15		70		62		

Political inclination:	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pi	ro-democrac	cy camp si	apporters #	. Total				
	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Pro-democracy camp	3,091	57.5%	1,476	65.4%	0	0%	0	0%	3,091	51.7%	1,476	26.1%	
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	36	6.0%	458	13.4%	36	0.6%	458	8.1%	
Localist	2,283	42.5%	780	34.6%	0	0%	0	0%	2,283	38.2%	780	13.8%	
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	198	32.7%	1,012	29.7%	198	3.3%	1,012	17.9%	
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
No political inclination /													
politically neutral / don't	0	0%	0	0%	371	61.3%	1,937	56.9%	371	6.2%	1,937	34.2%	
belong to any camp													
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Total	5,374	100.0%	2,257	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,979	100.0%	5,664	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0		

Voted political camp:	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pr	o-democrac	cy camp si	upporters #	‡ Total			
	Raw	Raw sample		Weighted sample		sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weighted sampl	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	5,078	94.8%	1,097	48.6%	373	61.8%	529	15.6%	5,451	91.5%	1,627	28.8%
Non-democratic	13	0.2%	65	2.9%	109	18.0%	1,618	47.8%	122	2.0%	1,684	29.9%
Did not vote / blank vote /												
void vote / don't know /	181	3.4%	572	25.4%	89	14.7%	887	26.2%	270	4.5%	1,459	25.9%
hard to say / refuse to	101	3.470	312	23.470	09	14.770	007	20.270	270	4.370	1,437	23.970
answer												
Not a registered voter	82	1.5%	521	23.1%	33	5.5%	350	10.3%	115	1.9%	871	15.4%
Total	5,354	100.0%	2,256	100.0%	604	100.0%	3,385	100.0%	5,958	100.0%	5,641	100.0%

^{*(}Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	7,393
Survey period	3-6/5/2021
Success rate	7.8%
Questionnaires sent out	94,202
Questionnaires received	7,930
Ineligible samples	63
Invalid samples	62
Incomplete samples	412
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.4%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 3-6/5/2021

Q1 The government will amend Hong Kong's electoral	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
system soon. In general, how much do you support or	Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
oppose these amendments?	(Base=2,342)		(Base=4,322)		(Base=6,664)	
Strongly support } Support	3.8%	}3.8%	18.0%	144 404	13.0%	}30.1%
Somewhat support	0.0%		26.3%	}44.4%	17.1%	}30.1%
Half-half	0.1%		11.3%		7.4%	
Somewhat oppose	7.6%	}96.2%	9.9%	}38.7%	9.1%	159.00/
Strongly oppose } Oppose	88.6%	}90.2%	28.8%	}38.7%	49.8%	}58.9%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0	0%	5.6	5%	3.7	7%
Total	100	0.0%	100	.0%	100	.0%
Mean values	1	.2	2.	.9	2.	3
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median	1.0		3.0		1.0	
Refuse to answer	<1		0		<1	
Net value (Support- Oppose)	-92	.4%	+5.	7%	-28.	8%

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 3-6/5/2021

Q2 The government is planning to ban any public call to		Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
not vote or cast blank or spoilt votes th		Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
How much do you support or oppose so	uch legislation?	(Base=2,342)		(Base=4,322)		(Base=6,664)	
Strongly support) 6	3.8%	12.00/	29.6%	151.00/	20.5%	124.50/
Somewhat support	} Support	0.2%	0.2%	21.4%	}51.0%	13.9%	}34.5%
Half-half		3.3%		8.8%		6.8%	
Somewhat oppose	} Oppose	12.3%	101.00/	8.9%	}37.2%	10.1%	}56.1%
Strongly oppose		78.7%	}91.0%	28.3%		46.0%	
Don't know / hard to say		1.8%		3.0%		2.6%	
Total		100	0.0%	100	.0%	100	.0%
Mean values		1.4		3.2		2.5	
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median		1.0		4.0		2.0	
Refuse to answer		<1		0		<1	
Net value (Support- Oppose)		-87	7.1%	+13	.8%	-21.	7%

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (3-6/5/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last	updated: xxxx-xx-xx
Tent	ative next update: xxxx-xx-xx
*Red	quired
Elig	ibility Confirmation
Are	you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *
\bigcirc	Yes
\bigcirc	No
(Nev	v member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at
http	s://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en *
\bigcirc	Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the
	designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
\bigcirc	Have just registered as a member
\bigcirc	Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your
	response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data
	analysis)
(Nev	v member) Email used / will be used in registration: *

We Hongkongers Series

The	government will amend Hong Kong's electoral system soon. In general, how much do you
supp	port or oppose these amendments?
\bigcirc	Strongly support
\bigcirc	Somewhat support
\bigcirc	Half-half
\bigcirc	Somewhat oppose
\bigcirc	Strongly oppose
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say
The	government is planning to ban any public call to not vote or cast blank or spoilt votes through
legis	slation. How much do you support or oppose such legislation?
\bigcirc	Strongly support
\bigcirc	Somewhat support
\bigcirc	Half-half
\bigcirc	Somewhat oppose
\bigcirc	Strongly oppose
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Pleas	se select the closest answer)
\bigcirc	0% chance (Certainly not)
\bigcirc	0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
\bigcirc	0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
\bigcirc	0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
\bigcirc	1% chance (1 in 100)
\bigcirc	5% chance (1 in 20)
\bigcirc	10% chance (1 in 10)
\bigcirc	15% chance
\bigcirc	20% chance
\bigcirc	25% chance
\bigcirc	30% chance
\bigcirc	35% chance
\bigcirc	40% chance
\bigcirc	45% chance
\bigcirc	50% chance
\bigcirc	60% chance
\bigcirc	70% chance
\bigcirc	80% chance
\bigcirc	90% chance
\bigcirc	100% chance (Certainly will)
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say
\bigcirc	Other:
How	v satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel
coro	onavirus pneumonia?
\bigcirc	Very much satisfied
\bigcirc	Somewhat satisfied
\bigcirc	Half-half
\bigcirc	Somewhat dissatisfied
\bigcirc	Very much dissatisfied
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale	of 0 to 100 ye	our extent of s	support to the	Chief Execut	tive Carrie La	am, with 0
indicating absolutely	not supportiv	e, 100 indicat	ting absolutel	y supportive a	and 50 indica	ting half-
half. How would you	rate the Chie	f Executive C	arrie Lam?			
O						
O Don't know / hard	d to say					
Generally speaking, h	now satisfied o	or dissatisfied	are you with	the current .	in Hong	Kong?
(Randomise the three condi-	tions)					
	Very much	Somewhat	II 101 10	Somewhat	Very much	Don't know
	satisfied	satisfied	Half-half	dissatisfied	dissatisfied	hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						
Other Opinions Do you have any surv (If you do not have any sugg	• •	00	•			
Do you have any mess persons you name her (The request you make here former District Councillor, not have any suggestion, ple	re? (Please pr is not part of our new or senior con	ovide their id study. We simply nmunity leaders, p	entities and y	cour contacts) eople who want to	send a message	to current or

Personal Information

(Reş	gistered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided
rele	vant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these
ques	stions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.
\bigcirc	I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions
	on personal information)
\bigcirc	Provide / update personal information now
Gen	don
O O	Male
0	Female
0	Other
\cup	Culci
Age	
\bigcirc	years old
\bigcirc	12 - 14
\bigcirc	15 - 17
\bigcirc	18 - 19
\bigcirc	20 - 24
\bigcirc	25 - 29
\bigcirc	30 - 34
\bigcirc	35 - 39
\bigcirc	40 - 44
\bigcirc	45 - 49
\bigcirc	50 - 54
\bigcirc	55 - 59
\bigcirc	60 - 64
\bigcirc	65 - 69
\bigcirc	70 or above
Б.1	
	cational attainment
	highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)
\bigcirc	Primary or below
\bigcirc	Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
\bigcirc	Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
\bigcirc	Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
\bigcirc	Tertiary: bachelor degree course Tertiary: postgraduate school or above
. 1	LECTION OF THE SECTION OF ADDIVE

Occupation

(Own	er / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or
conte	nt)
\bigcirc	Administrator and professional
\bigcirc	Clerical and service worker
\bigcirc	Production worker
\bigcirc	Student
\bigcirc	Home-maker / housewife
\bigcirc	Retired person
\bigcirc	Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
\bigcirc	Other:
Whi	ch of the following best describes your political inclination?
(Rand	domise the four camps)
\bigcirc	Localist
\bigcirc	Pro-democracy camp
\bigcirc	Centrist
\bigcirc	Pro-establishment camp
\bigcirc	Other:
\bigcirc	No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say

Area	a of residence
\bigcirc	Central & Western District
\bigcirc	Wan Chai
\bigcirc	Eastern District
\bigcirc	Southern District
\bigcirc	Yau Tsim Mong
\bigcirc	Sham Shui Po
\bigcirc	Kowloon City
\bigcirc	Wong Tai Sin
\bigcirc	Kwun Tong
\bigcirc	Tsuen Wan
\bigcirc	Tuen Mun
\bigcirc	Yuen Long
\bigcirc	North District
\bigcirc	Tai Po
\bigcirc	Sai Kung
\bigcirc	Sha Tin
\bigcirc	Kwai Tsing
\bigcirc	Islands
Whi	ch candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?
\bigcirc	Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
\bigcirc	Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say
\bigcirc	Blank / void vote
\bigcirc	Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
\bigcirc	Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.