HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM
HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111
Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361
Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk
Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang
地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

2021 年 5 月 11 日 新聞公報

民研計劃發放特首及問責司局長民望數字

特別宣佈

香港民意研究計劃（香港民研）前身為香港大學民意研究計劃（港大民研）。公報內的「民研計劃」指的可以是香港民研或其前身港大民研。

公報簡要

民研計劃於五月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式成功訪問了 1,013 名香港居民。調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的評分為 30.4 分，有 42%受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分，民望淨值為負 54 個百分點，以上全部數字與半個月前分別不大。司長方面，政務司司長張建宗的支持度評分為 30.3 分，民望淨值為負 30 個百分點，較一個月前顯著下跌 10 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 35.1 分，民望淨值為負 18 個百分點，較一個月前變化不大。至於律政司司長鄭若驊，其支持度評分為 25.0 分，民望淨值為負 44 個百分點，同樣較一個月前變化不大。局長方面，十三位局長的民望淨值除了排名首位的環境局局長黃錦星錄得正 2 個百分點外，其餘全部錄得負值。對比兩個月前，五位局長的淨支持率上升，七人下跌，一人不變，當中只有陳帆的支持率淨值顯著上升，升幅達 13 個百分點。調查的實效回應比率為 55.5%。在 95%置信水平下，調查的百分比誤差不超過+/-4%，淨值誤差不超過+/-7%，評分誤差不超過+/-2.3。

樣本資料

調查日期	:	3-7/5/2021
調查方法	:	由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問
訪問對象	:	18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民
成功樣本數目[1]	:	1,013 (包括 506 個固網及 507 個手機樣本)
實效回應比率	:	55.5%
抽樣誤差[2]	:	在 95%置信水平下，百分比誤差不超過+/-4%，淨值誤差不超過+/-7%，評分誤差不超過+/-2.3
加權方法	:	按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二零年年中人口數字》，而教育程度（最高就讀程度）及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統計數字》（2020 年版）。

[1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目，個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。

[2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95%置信水平計算。95%置信水平，是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次，則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差，傳媒引用百分比數字時，應避免使用小數點，在引用評分數字時，則可以使用一個小數點。

特首及問責司局長民望

以下是特首林鄭月娥的最新民望數字：

調查日期	24-26/2/21	8-12/3/21	22-25/3/21	7-9/4/21	19-22/4/21	***3-7/5/21***	***最新變化***
樣本數目	1,000	1,001	1,010	1,003	1,004	***1,013***	--
回應比率	57.2%	47.6%	56.8%	50.1%	54.5%	***55.5%***	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	***結果及誤差***	--
特首林鄭月娥評分	33.9	29.5[3]	32.8[3]	30.7	32.0	***30.4+/-2.0***	***-1.6***
林鄭月娥出任特首支持率	23%[3]	18%[3]	19%	20%	18%	***17+/-2%***	***-1%***
林鄭月娥出任特首反對率	67%	72%[3]	68%	67%	68%	***71+/-3%***	***+3%***
支持率淨值	-43%[3]	-54%[3]	-50%	-47%	-50%	***-54+/-5%***	***-5%***

[3] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95%置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各問責司長的最新民望數字：

調查日期	4-8/1/21	2-5/2/21	24/2/21[4]	8-12/3/21	7-9/4/21	***3-7/5/21***	***最新變化***
樣本數目	600-664	529-582	859	521-548	556-639	***636-700***	--
回應比率	58.5%	62.9%	61.0%	47.6%	50.1%	***55.5%***	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	***結果及誤差***	--
政務司司長張建宗評分	30.7	31.2	--	32.7	32.6	***30.3+/-2.3***	***-2.4***
張建宗出任政務司司長支持率	18%	16%	--	19%	22%	***17+/-3%***	***-5%[5]***
張建宗出任政務司司長反對率	48%	47%	--	44%	43%	***48+/-4%***	***+5%***
支持率淨值	-29%	-31%	--	-25%	-20%	***-30+/-6%***	***-10%[5]***
財政司司長陳茂波評分	33.6	35.2	36.2	34.7	35.8	***35.1+/-2.3***	***-0.7***
陳茂波出任財政司司長支持率	27%	23%	27%	26%	29%	***24+/-3%***	***-5%***
陳茂波出任財政司司長反對率	42%	44%	51%[5]	47%	39%[5]	***42+/-4%***	***+3%***
支持率淨值	-14%	-21%	-24%	-21%	-10%[5]	***-18+/-6%***	***-8%***
律政司司長鄭若驊評分	23.1	23.8	--	23.9	22.7	***25.0+/-2.2***	***+2.3***
鄭若驊出任律政司司長支持率	14%	11%	--	14%	14%	***14+/-3%***	--
鄭若驊出任律政司司長反對率	66%	61%	--	56%	61%	***57+/-4%***	***-4%***
支持率淨值	-52%	-50%	--	-42%	-47%	***-44+/-5%***	***+4%***

[4] 調查為財政預算案即時調查，只問及財政司司長評分及支持率。

[5] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95%置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各問責局長的最新民望數字，按支持率淨值排列[6]：

調查日期	9-13/11/20	4-8/1/21	8-12/3/21	***3-7/5/21***	***最新變化***
樣本數目	588-642	603-628	533-710	***614-647***	--
回應比率	63.9%	58.5%	47.6%	***55.5%***	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	***結果及誤差***	--
黃錦星出任環境局局長支持率	28%[7]	25%	24%	***29+/-4%***	***+5%[7]***
黃錦星出任環境局局長反對率	28%	28%	28%	***27+/-4%***	***-1%***
支持率淨值	-<1%[7]	-3%	-4%	***2+/-6%***	***+6%***
薛永恒出任創新及科技局局長支持率	23%	23%	24%	***21+/-3%***	***-3%***
薛永恒出任創新及科技局局長反對率	26%[7]	33%[7]	28%	***25+/-4%***	***-3%***
支持率淨值	-3%[7]	-10%	-4%	***-5+/-5%***	***-1%***
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長支持率	20%[7]	20%	19%	***18+/-3%***	***-1%***
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長反對率	21%[7]	26%	19%[7]	***24+/-3%***	***+5%[7]***
支持率淨值	-1%[7]	-7%	1%	***-6+/-5%***	***-7%***
黃偉綸出任發展局局長支持率	21%[7]	21%	21%	***18+/-3%***	***-3%***
黃偉綸出任發展局局長反對率	27%	26%	23%	***25+/-4%***	***+3%***
支持率淨值	-6%[7]	-5%	-2%	***-7+/-5%***	***-5%***
徐英偉出任民政事務局局長支持率	20%	19%	21%	***21+/-3%***	***--***
徐英偉出任民政事務局局長反對率	29%[7]	31%	29%	***30+/-4%***	***+1%***
支持率淨值	-9%[7]	-13%	-8%	***-9+/-6%***	***-1%***
邱騰華出任商務及經濟發展局局長支持率	28%[7]	25%	25%	***26+/-4%***	***+1%***
邱騰華出任商務及經濟發展局局長反對率	38%[7]	39%	35%	***35+/-4%***	***--***
支持率淨值	-10%[7]	-14%	-10%	***-9+/-6%***	***+1%***
陳帆出任運輸及房屋局局長支持率	25%[7]	24%	16%[7]	***24+/-3%***	***+8%[7]***
陳帆出任運輸及房屋局局長反對率	38%[7]	39%	40%	***35+/-4%***	***-5%***
支持率淨值	-13%[7]	-15%	-24%[7]	***-11+/-6%***	***+13%[7]***
羅致光出任勞工及福利局局長支持率	28%	31%	25%[7]	***26+/-4%***	***+1%***
羅致光出任勞工及福利局局長反對率	36%[7]	37%	36%	***37+/-4%***	***+1%***
支持率淨值	-9%[7]	-6%	-11%	***-12+/-6%***	***-1%***
聶德權出任公務員事務局局長支持率	23%	23%	25%	***24+/-3%***	***-1%***
聶德權出任公務員事務局局長反對率	42%[7]	44%	42%	***39+/-4%***	***-2%***
支持率淨值	-19%	-21%	-17%	***-15+/-6%***	***+1%***
陳肇始出任食物及衞生局局長支持率	36%[7]	25%[7]	23%	***24+/-3%***	***+1%***
陳肇始出任食物及衞生局局長反對率	38%[7]	46%[7]	49%	***45+/-4%***	***-4%***
支持率淨值	-2%[7]	-20%[7]	-26%	***-21+/-7%***	***+5%***
曾國衞出任政制及內地事務局局長支持率	23%	21%	22%	***19+/-3%***	***-3%***
曾國衞出任政制及內地事務局局長反對率	43%[7]	40%	37%	***41+/-4%***	***+4%***
支持率淨值	-20%[7]	-19%	-15%	***-22+/-6%***	***-8%***

調查日期	9-13/11/20	4-8/1/21	8-12/3/21	***3-7/5/21***	***最新變化***
樣本數目	588-642	603-628	533-710	***614-647***	--
回應比率	63.9%	58.5%	47.6%	***55.5%***	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	***結果及誤差***	--
李家超出任保安局局長支持率	24%	25%	27%	***27+/-4%***	--
李家超出任保安局局長反對率	55%[7]	55%	51%	***51+/-4%***	***-1%***
支持率淨值	-31%[7]	-30%	-24%	***-24+/-7%***	--
楊潤雄出任教育局局長支持率	20%	17%	15%	***14+/-3%***	***-1%***
楊潤雄出任教育局局長反對率	59%	60%	58%	***58+/-4%***	***+1%***
支持率淨值	-39%	-42%	-43%	***-44+/-6%***	***-2%***

[6] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

[7] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95%置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

最新調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的評分為 30.4 分，有 42%受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分，其支持率為 17%，反對率為 71%，民望淨值為負 54 個百分點，以上全部數字與半個月前分別不大。

司長方面，政務司司長張建宗的支持度評分為 30.3 分，支持率為 17%，反對率為 48%，民望淨值為負 30 個百分點，較一個月前顯著下跌 10 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 35.1 分，支持率為 24%，反對率為 42%，民望淨值為負 18 個百分點，較一個月前變化不大。至於律政司司長鄭若驊，其支持度評分為 25.0 分，支持率為 14%，反對率為 57%，民望淨值為負 44 個百分點，同樣較一個月前變化不大。

局長方面，十三位局長的民望淨值除了排名首位的環境局局長黃錦星錄得正 2 個百分點外，其餘全部錄得負值。排名第二至第四位的是創新及科技局局長薛永恒、財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇和發展局局長黃偉綸，然後是民政事務局局長徐英偉、商務及經濟發展局局長邱騰華、運輸及房屋局局長陳帆、勞工福利局局長羅致光、公務員事務局局長聶德權、食物及衞生局局長陳肇始、政制及內地事務局局長曾國衞、保安局局長李家超，最後是教育局局長楊潤雄。對比兩個月前，五位局長的淨支持率上升，七人下跌，一人不變，當中只有陳帆的支持率淨值顯著上升，升幅達 13 個百分點。

根據民研計劃的標準，沒有官員屬於「表現理想」或「表現成功」，黃錦星、羅致光、邱騰華、陳茂波、陳帆、陳肇始、聶德權、徐英偉、曾國衞及張建宗屬於「表現一般」，薛永恒、黃偉綸及許正宇屬於「表現不彰」，楊潤雄、鄭若驊及李家超屬於「表現失敗」，林鄭月娥屬於「表現拙劣」。

以下是特首林鄭月娥及各問責官員民望級別總表：

「表現理想」：支持率超過 66%者，以支持率排名[8]，即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現成功」：支持率超過 50%者，以支持率排名[8]，即括弧內數字
沒有官員

「表現一般」：非其他五類者，以支持率排名[8]，即括弧內數字
環境局局長黃錦星（29%） 勞工及福利局局長羅致光（26%） 商務及經濟發展局局長邱騰華（26%） 財政司司長陳茂波（24%） 運輸及房屋局局長陳帆（24%） 食物及衞生局局長陳肇始（24%） 公務員事務局局長聶德權（24%） 民政事務局局長徐英偉（21%） 政制及內地事務局局長曾國衞（19%） 政務司司長張建宗（17%）
「表現不彰」：認知率不足 50%者，以支持率排名[8]，括弧內第一數字為支持率，第二數字為認知率
創新及科技局局長薛永恒（21%，46%） 發展局局長黃偉綸（18%，43%） 財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇（18%，41%）
「表現失敗」：反對率超過 50%者，以反對率排名[8]，即括弧內數字
教育局局長楊潤雄（58%） 律政司司長鄭若驊（57%） 保安局局長李家超（51%）
「表現拙劣」：反對率超過 66%者，以反對率排名[8]，即括弧內數字
特首林鄭月娥（71%）

[8] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

民意日誌

民研計劃於 2007 年開始與慧科訊業有限公司合作，由慧科訊業按照民研計劃設計的分析方法，將每日大事記錄傳送至民研計劃，經民研計劃核實後成為「民意日誌」。

由於本新聞公報所涉及的部分調查項目，上次調查日期為 8-12/3/2021，而今次調查日期則為 3-7/5/2021，因此是次公報中的「民意日誌」項目便以上述日期為依歸，讓讀者作出比較。以涵蓋率不下 25%本地報章每日頭條新聞和報社評論計，在上述期間發生的相關大事包括以下事件，讀者可以自行判斷有關事件有否影響各項民調數字：

7/5/21	政府宣布接種疫苗可以縮短檢疫期
5/5/21	七宗變種病毒個案證實有關
4/5/21	荃威花園 R 座居民須於檢疫中心檢疫 21 日
3/5/21	尖沙咀美園大廈居民須於檢疫中心檢疫 21 日
2/5/21	政府擬規定外傭來港或續約須接種新冠疫苗
1/5/21	病毒基因分析顯示變種病毒有在社區傳播
30/4/21	政府宣布全港外傭須接受強制檢測
29/4/21	香港出現首宗源頭不明新冠肺炎變種病毒個案
27/4/21	政府以「疫苗氣泡」為基礎放寬部分防疫措施
26/4/21	香港及新加坡政府宣布兩地「航空旅遊氣泡」將於 5 月 26 日啟動
23/4/21	香港民族陣綫前成員管有炸藥罪成，判囚 12 年
17/4/21	香港首度在社區發現新冠肺炎變種病毒

16/4/21	九位知名民主派人士就 8 月 18 日集會案被判罪成入獄
15/4/21	政府舉辦「全民國家安全教育日」
13/4/21	政府將立法禁止公開呼籲不投票或投白票廢票
12/4/21	政府宣布以「疫苗氣泡」為基礎調整社交距離措施
11/4/21	四款儲值支付工具將協助發放電子消費券
2/4/21	衛生署呼籲長假期聚會須做好防疫措施
30/3/21	人大常委通過修訂基本法，修改香港的選舉制度
29/3/21	政府宣布放寬防疫措施
27/3/21	2021 年來首次單日本地零確診
24/3/21	政府因復必泰疫苗包裝瑕疵宣布暫停接種
15/3/21	政府擴大新冠疫苗接種優先組別範圍
13/3/21	政府封鎖半山區多幢大廈進行強制檢測

數據分析

調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的評分為 30.4 分，有 42%受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分，民望淨值為負 54 個百分點，以上全部數字與半個月前分別不大。

司長方面，政務司司長張建宗的支持度評分為 30.3 分，民望淨值為負 30 個百分點，較一個月前顯著下跌 10 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 35.1 分，民望淨值為負 18 個百分點，較一個月前變化不大。至於律政司司長鄭若驊，其支持度評分為 25.0 分，民望淨值為負 44 個百分點，同樣較一個月前變化不大。

局長方面，十三位局長的民望淨值除了排名首位的環境局局長黃錦星錄得正 2 個百分點外，其餘全部錄得負值。對比兩個月前，五位局長的淨支持率上升，七人下跌，一人不變，當中只有陳帆的支持率淨值顯著上升，升幅達 13 個百分點。

POP
HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM
HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111
Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361
Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk
Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang
地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

Press Release on May 11, 2021

POP releases popularities of CE and principal officials

Special Announcement

The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) was The Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). "POP" in this release can refer to HKPOP or its predecessor HKUPOP.

Abstract

POP successfully interviewed 1,013 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers in early May. Our survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 30.4 marks, with 42% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her net popularity stands at negative 54 percentage points. All popularity figures mentioned above have not changed much from half a month ago. As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS Matthew Cheung is 30.3 marks. His net popularity is negative 30 percentage points, registering a significant decrease of 10 percentage points from a month ago. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 35.1 His net popularity is negative 18 percentage points. These figures have not changed much from a month ago. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 25.0 marks, her net popularity is negative 44 percentage points, also not changed much from a month ago. As for the Directors of Bureaux, except for Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing who has got a net approval rate of positive 2 percentage points, everyone else register negative net approval rates. Compared to two months ago, the net approval rates of 5 Directors have gone up, 7 have gone down, while 1 remains unchanged, but only the net approval rate of Frank Chan has significantly increased, registering an increase of 13 percentage points. The effective response rate of the survey is 55.5%. The maximum sampling error of percentages is +/-4%, that of net values is +/-7% and that of ratings is +/-2.3 at 95% confidence level.

Contact Information

Date of survey	:	3-7/5/2021
Survey method	:	Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
Target population	:	Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
Sample size[1]	:	1,013 (including 506 landline and 507 mobile samples)
Effective response rate	:	55.5%
Sampling error[2]	:	Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-7% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.3 at 95% conf. level

Weighting method	:	Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from "Mid-year population for 2020", while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from "Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)".

[1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

[2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

Popularity of CE and Principal Officials

Recent popularity figures of CE Carrie Lam are summarized as follows:

Date of survey	24-26/2/21	8-12/3/21	22-25/3/21	7-9/4/21	19-22/4/21	***3-7/5/21***	***Latest change***
Sample size	1,000	1,001	1,010	1,003	1,004	***1,013***	--
Response rate	57.2%	47.6%	56.8%	50.1%	54.5%	***55.5%***	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	***Finding & error***	--
Rating of CE Carrie Lam	33.9	29.5[3]	32.8[3]	30.7	32.0	***30.4+/-2.0***	***-1.6***
Vote of confidence in CE Carrie Lam	23%[3]	18%[3]	19%	20%	18%	***17+/-2%***	***-1%***
Vote of no confidence in CE Carrie Lam	67%	72%[3]	68%	67%	68%	***71+/-3%***	***+3%***
Net approval rate	-43%[3]	-54%[3]	-50%	-47%	-50%	***-54+/-5%***	***-5%***

[3] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Recent popularity figures of the three Secretaries of Departments under the accountability system are summarized below:

Date of survey	4-8/1/21	2-5/2/21	24/2/21[4]	8-12/3/21	7-9/4/21	***3-7/5/21***	***Latest change***
Sample size	600-664	529-582	859	521-548	556-639	***636-700***	--
Response rate	58.5%	62.9%	61.0%	47.6%	50.1%	***55.5%***	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	***Finding & error***	--
Rating of CS Matthew Cheung	30.7	31.2	--	32.7	32.6	***30.3+/-2.3***	***-2.4***
Vote of confidence in CS Matthew Cheung	18%	16%	--	19%	22%	***17+/-3%***	***-5%[5]***
Vote of no confidence in CS Matthew Cheung	48%	47%	--	44%	43%	***48+/-4%***	***+5%***
Net approval rate	-29%	-31%	--	-25%	-20%	***-30+/-6%***	***-10%[5]***
Rating of FS Paul Chan	33.6	35.2	36.2	34.7	35.8	***35.1+/-2.3***	***-0.7***
Vote of confidence in FS Paul Chan	27%	23%	27%	26%	29%	***24+/-3%***	***-5%***
Vote of no confidence in FS Paul Chan	42%	44%	51%[5]	47%	39%[5]	***42+/-4%***	***+3%***
Net approval rate	-14%	-21%	-24%	-21%	-10%[5]	***-18+/-6%***	***-8%***

Date of survey	4-8/1/21	2-5/2/21	24/2/21[4]	8-12/3/21	7-9/4/21	***3-7/5/21***	***Latest change***
Sample size	600-664	529-582	859	521-548	556-639	***636-700***	--
Response rate	58.5%	62.9%	61.0%	47.6%	50.1%	***55.5%***	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	***Finding & error***	--
Rating of SJ Teresa Cheng	23.1	23.8	--	23.9	22.7	***25.0+/-2.2***	***+2.3***
Vote of confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	14%	11%	--	14%	14%	***14+/-3%***	--
Vote of no confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	66%	61%	--	56%	61%	***57+/-4%***	***-4%***
Net approval rate	-52%	-50%	--	-42%	-47%	***-44+/-5%***	***+4%***

[4] The survey was the Budget instant poll and only asked about the rating of FS and vote of confidence in him.

[5] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Latest popularity figures of Directors of Bureaux under the accountability system sorted by net approval rates[6] are summarized below:

Date of survey	9-13/11/20	4-8/1/21	8-12/3/21	***3-7/5/21***	***Latest change***
Sample size	588-642	603-628	533-710	***614-647***	--
Response rate	63.9%	58.5%	47.6%	***55.5%***	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	***Finding & error***	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing	28%[7]	25%	24%	***29+/-4%***	***+5%[7]***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing	28%	28%	28%	***27+/-4%***	***-1%***
Net approval rate	-<1%[7]	-3%	-4%	***2+/-6%***	***+6%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit	23%	23%	24%	***21+/-3%***	***-3%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit	26%[7]	33%[7]	28%	***25+/-4%***	***-3%***
Net approval rate	-3%[7]	-10%	-4%	***-5+/-5%***	***-1%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	20%[7]	20%	19%	***18+/-3%***	***-1%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	21%[7]	26%	19%[7]	***24+/-3%***	***+5%[7]***
Net approval rate	-1%[7]	-7%	1%	***-6+/-5%***	***-7%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Development Michael Wong	21%[7]	21%	21%	***18+/-3%***	***-3%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Development Michael Wong	27%	26%	23%	***25+/-4%***	***+3%***
Net approval rate	-6%[7]	-5%	-2%	***-7+/-5%***	***-5%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui	20%	19%	21%	***21+/-3%***	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui	29%[7]	31%	29%	***30+/-4%***	***+1%***
Net approval rate	-9%[7]	-13%	-8%	***-9+/-6%***	***-1%***

Date of survey	9-13/11/20	4-8/1/21	8-12/3/21	***3-7/5/21***	***Latest change***
Sample size	588-642	603-628	533-710	***614-647***	--
Response rate	63.9%	58.5%	47.6%	***55.5%***	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	***Finding & error***	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau	28%[7]	25%	25%	***26+/-4%***	***+1%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau	38%[7]	39%	35%	***35+/-4%***	--
Net approval rate	-10%[7]	-14%	-10%	***-9+/-6%***	***+1%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan	25%[7]	24%	16%[7]	***24+/-3%***	***+8%[7]***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan	38%[7]	39%	40%	***35+/-4%***	***-5%***
Net approval rate	-13%[7]	-15%	-24%[7]	***-11+/-6%***	***+13%[7]***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong	28%	31%	25%[7]	***26+/-4%***	***+1%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong	36%[7]	37%	36%	***37+/-4%***	***+1%***
Net approval rate	-9%[7]	-6%	-11%	***-12+/-6%***	***-1%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip	23%	23%	25%	***24+/-3%***	***-1%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip	42%[7]	44%	42%	***39+/-4%***	***-2%***
Net approval rate	-19%	-21%	-17%	***-15+/-6%***	***+1%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan	36%[7]	25%[7]	23%	***24+/-3%***	***+1%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan	38%[7]	46%[7]	49%	***45+/-4%***	***-4%***
Net approval rate	-2%[7]	-20%[7]	-26%	***-21+/-7%***	***+5%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	23%	21%	22%	***19+/-3%***	***-3%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	43%[7]	40%	37%	***41+/-4%***	***+4%***
Net approval rate	-20%[7]	-19%	-15%	***-22+/-6%***	***-8%***
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Security John Lee	24%	25%	27%	***27+/-4%***	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Security John Lee	55%[7]	55%	51%	***51+/-4%***	***-1%***
Net approval rate	-31%[7]	-30%	-24%	***-24+/-7%***	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung	20%	17%	15%	***14+/-3%***	***-1%***
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung	59%	60%	58%	***58+/-4%***	***+1%***
Net approval rate	-39%	-42%	-43%	***-44+/-6%***	***-2%***

[6] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

[7] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 30.4 marks, with 42% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 17%, disapproval rate 71%, giving a net popularity of negative 54 percentage points. All popularity figures have not changed much from half a month ago.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS Matthew Cheung is 30.3 marks. His approval rate is 17%, disapproval rate 48%, giving a net popularity of negative 30 percentage points, registering a significant decrease of 10 percentage points from a month ago. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 35.1 marks, approval rate 24%, disapproval rate 42%, thus a net popularity of negative 18 percentage points. These figures have not changed much from a month ago. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 25.0 marks, approval rate 14%, disapproval rate 57%, giving a net popularity of negative 44 percentage points, also not changed much from a month ago.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, except for Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing who has got a net approval rate of positive 2 percentage points, everyone else register negative net approval rates. The second to fourth positions go to Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit, Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui and Secretary for Development Michael Wong, followed by Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui, Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau, Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan, Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong, Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip, Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang, Secretary for Security John Lee and Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung. Compared to two months ago, the net approval rates of 5 Directors have gone up, 7 have gone down, while 1 remains unchanged, but only the net approval rate of Frank Chan has significantly increased, registering an increase of 13 percentage points.

According to POP's standard, no one falls under the category of "ideal" or "successful" performer. The performance of Wong Kam-sing, Law Chi-kwong, Edward Yau, Paul Chan, Frank Chan, Sophia Chan, Patrick Nip, Caspar Tsui, Erick Tsang and Matthew Cheung can be labeled as "mediocre". That of Alfred Sit, Michael Wong and Christopher Hui can be labeled as "inconspicuous". Kevin Yeung, Teresa Cheng and John Lee fall into the category of "depressing" performer, while Carrie Lam falls into that of "disastrous".

The following table summarizes the grading of CE Carrie Lam and the principal officials:

"Ideal": those with approval rates of over 66%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets[8]
Nil
"Successful": those with approval rates of over 50%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets[8]
Nil
"Mediocre": those not belonging to other 5 types; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets[8]
Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing (29%) Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong (26%) Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau (26%) FS Paul Chan (24%) Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan (24%) Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan (24%) Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip (24%) Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui (21%) Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang (19%) CS Matthew Cheung (17%)

"Inconspicuous": those with recognition rates of less than 50%; ranked by their approval rates[8]; the first figure inside bracket is approval rate while the second figure is recognition rate
Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit (21%, 46%) Secretary for Development Michael Wong (18%, 43%) Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui (18%, 41%)
"Depressing": those with disapproval rates of over 50%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets[8]
Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung (58%) SJ Teresa Cheng (57%) Secretary for Security John Lee (51%)
"Disastrous": those with disapproval rates of over 66%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets[8]
CE Carrie Lam (71%)

[8] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

Opinion Daily

In 2007, POP started collaborating with Wisers Information Limited whereby Wisers supplies to POP a record of significant events of that day according to the research method designed by POP. These daily entries would then become "Opinion Daily" after they are verified by POP.

For some of the polling items covered in this press release, the previous survey was conducted from 8 to 12 March, 2021 while this survey was conducted from 3 to 7 May, 2021. During this period, herewith the significant events selected from counting newspaper headlines and commentaries on a daily basis and covered by at least 25% of the local newspaper articles. Readers can make their own judgment if these significant events have any impacts to different polling figures.

7/5/21	The government announces that vaccinated person can have shorter quarantine period.
5/5/21	Seven cases involving coronavirus variant are confirmed to be related.
4/5/21	Residents of Block R of Allway Gardens are put into quarantine centre for 21 days.
3/5/21	Residents of Beauty Mansion in Tsim Sha Tsui are put into quarantine centre for 21 days.
2/5/21	The government plans to require foreign domestic helpers to be vaccinated to come to Hong Kong or renew contracts.
1/5/21	Virus genome sequencing shows coronavirus variant has spread in the community.
30/4/21	The government imposes mandatory testing for all foreign domestic helpers in Hong Kong.
29/4/21	Hong Kong records the first untraceable case involving coronavirus variant.
27/4/21	The government relaxes some anti-epidemic measures with "vaccine bubble" as the basis.
26/4/21	The governments of Hong Kong and Singapore announce that Air Travel Bubble arrangement will begin on May 26.
23/4/21	Former member of Hong Kong National Front is jailed for 12 years for possessing explosives.
17/4/21	Coronavirus variant is found in the Hong Kong community for the first time.
16/4/21	9 famous democrats are convicted and jailed for 8.18 assembly.
15/4/21	The government holds "National Security Education Day".
13/4/21	The government will make law to ban public call to not vote or cast blank or spoilt votes.
12/4/21	The government announces it will adjust social distancing measures with "vaccine bubble" as the basis.
11/4/21	Four stored value facilities will assist in the distribution of electronic consumption vouchers.

2/4/21	The Department of Health urges taking preventive measures during gatherings in the long weekend.
30/3/21	NPCSC passes amendments to the Basic Law to amend Hong Kong's electoral system.
29/3/21	The government relaxes anti-epidemic measures.
27/3/21	First day of zero confirmed cases since 2021.
24/3/21	The government halts BioNTech vaccination because of packaging defects.
15/3/21	The government expands COVID-19 vaccination priority groups' coverage.
13/3/21	The government locks down multiple buildings in the Mid-Levels for compulsory testing.

Data Analysis

Our survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 30.4 marks, with 42% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her net popularity stands at negative 54 percentage points. All popularity figures mentioned above have not changed much from half a month ago.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS Matthew Cheung is 30.3 marks. His net popularity is negative 30 percentage points, registering a significant decrease of 10 percentage points from a month ago. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 35.1 His net popularity is negative 18 percentage points. These figures have not changed much from a month ago. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 25.0 marks, her net popularity is negative 44 percentage points, also not changed much from a month ago.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, except for Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing who has got a net approval rate of positive 2 percentage points, everyone else register negative net approval rates. Compared to two months ago, the net approval rates of 5 Directors have gone up, 7 have gone down, while 1 remains unchanged, but only the net approval rate of Frank Chan has significantly increased, registering an increase of 13 percentage points.