HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

"We Hongkongers" Panel Survey
Latest Results
July 9, 2021

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

限聚指數 Group Gathering Prohibition Index 9/7/2021

樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

0	- //
3	
	// /

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel	
調查日期 Survey date	18/6 15:00 – 23/6 15:00	
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員,並於網上完成調查 Online survey	
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+	
總成功樣本 Total sample size	6,158	
回應比率 Response rate	6.6%	
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95%置信水平,百分比誤差+/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level	
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字; 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果;3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字,以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.	

限聚指數


Group Gathering Prohibition Index

- 4
- <u>最新</u>調查日期 <u>Latest</u> survey date: 18-23/6/2021 (N=6,158)
- 上次調查日期 Last survey date: 17-24/5/2021 (N=6,583)
- <u>上上次</u>調査日期 <u>Second last</u> survey date: 16-21/4/2021 (N=6,330)

意見題目	Opinion Questions
你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」? ■ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」 ■ 不應該,應視乎疫情而定 ■ 不知道/很難說	Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong? Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally No, it should depend on the epidemic situation Don't know / hard to say
[追問沒有選擇應該"無條件撤銷「限聚令」"者] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人? 你認為感染個案清零多少天後,限聚令應該全面撤銷? 請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的[個案數及限聚人數]組合	[For respondents NOT answering "Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally"] How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people? After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether? Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



限聚指數 – 分析評論 Group Gathering Prohibition Index – Commentary

中科監察研究員潘麒智指出:「本港雖然連續三十日新冠肺炎本地零確診,但新一輪社交距離措施只作微調放寬,並維持限聚令、口罩令、餐飲場所等社交距離措施,而餐飲業務亦繼續維持現時的規定及限制。按現時離地的安排,相信措施往後仍會選擇性以『唧牙膏』方式微調。我希望市民繼續包容,勿作無理反對。」

KC Poon, researcher from the CHINAT Monitor, observed, "Although there have been zero local COVID confirmed cases in Hong Kong for 30 consecutive days, the latest social distancing measures have only been relaxed very slightly. Group gathering ban, mask order and social distancing measures in catering outlets have not been changed. The existing requirements and restrictions for the catering business remain the same. It seems that current impractical and 'off-the-ground' arrangements will only be fine-tuned selectively and ploddingly. I hope the public would continue to tolerate them and refrain from making unreasonable objections."

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

"We Hongkongers" Panel Survey
Latest Results
July 9, 2021

Contact Information - "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey

1	0	
/	Ŏ	
		//

	HKPOP Panel
Date of survey	July 5, 3pm – July 8, 3pm
Survey method	Online survey
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+
Total sample size	6,930
Response rate	7.5%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.


- Latest survey period: 5-8/7/2021
- Question: Q1 The government will soon require district councillors to swear allegiance to the Hong Kong SAR and to uphold the Basic Law. Facing this oath-taking requirement, how do you think district councillors of the pro-democracy camp should do?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=5,892)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=645)	Combined^ (n=6,537)
Take the oath and let the government decide on the validity of the oath	29%	53%	43%
Refuse to take the oath and resign	41%	27%	33%
No opinion / don't know / hard to say	30%	19%	24%

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

- Latest survey period: 5-8/7/2021
- Question: Q1 The government will soon require district councillors to swear allegiance to the Hong Kong SAR and to uphold the Basic Law. Facing this oath-taking requirement, how do you think district councillors of the pro-democracy camp should do?



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.


- Latest survey period : 5-8/7/2021
- Question: Q2 Do you think the government should hold by-elections as quickly as possible to fill the vacancies in the District Councils?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=5,887)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=645)	Combined^ (n=6,532)
Yes	39%	47%	44%
No	29%	26%	27%
Don't know / hard to say	33%	27%	29%

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

- Latest survey period : 5-8/7/2021
- Question: Q2 Do you think the government should hold by-elections as quickly as possible to fill the vacancies in the District Councils?



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.


- Latest survey period : 5-8/7/2021
- Question: Q3 If the government would hold by-elections, do you think the pro-democracy camp should participate?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=5,892)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=644)	Combined^ (n=6,536)
Yes	41%	55%	49%
No	38%	30%	33%
Don't know / hard to say	21%	15%	18%

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

- Latest survey period: 5-8/7/2021
- Question: Q3 If the government would hold by-elections, do you think the pro-democracy camp should participate?



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

15)

In-depth analysis[#] (n=3,929)

		Q1 The government will soon require district councillors to swear allegiance to the Hong Kong SAR and to uphold the Basic Law. Facing this oath-taking requirement, how do you think district councillors of the pro-democracy camp should do?	
			Refuse to take the oath and resign
Q2 Do you think the government should hold by-elections as quickly as possible to fill the vacancies in the District Councils?	Yes	78%	39%
	No	22%	61%

[#] The values in each column add up to 100%

16)

In-depth analysis# (n=4,452)

		Q1 The government will soon require district councillors to swear allegiance to the Hong Kong SAR and to uphold the Basic Law. Facing this oath-taking requirement, how do you think district councillors of the pro-democracy camp should do?	
		Take the oath and let the government decide on the validity of the oath	Refuse to take the oath and resign
Q3 If the government would hold by-elections, do you think the prodemocracy camp should participate?	Yes	90%	17%
	No	10%	83%

 $^{^{\}rm \#}$ The values in each column add up to 100%

In-depth analysis# (n=4,402)

		Q3 If the government would hold by-elections, do you think the pro-democracy camp should participate?	
		Yes	Yes
Q2 Do you think the government should hold by-elections as	Yes	47%	15%
quickly as possible to fill the vacancies in the District Councils?	Yes	14%	24%

^{*} All values add up to 100%