



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

---

# **Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute**

---

## **PopPanel Research Report No. 89 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 62**

---

**Survey Date: 9 July to 14 July 2021**

**Release Date: 16 July 2021**

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

## Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: [https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers\\_factsheet\\_20191017\\_chi](https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi).

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 89 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 62 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The theme of this report is "Police Community Relations".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

## Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

**Table 1: Detailed Contact Information**

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	9 July, 3pm – 14 July, 3pm
Total sample size	7,690
Response rate	8.4%
Sampling error <sup>[1]</sup>	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

## PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

## Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

**Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)**

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{7,690}{92,035} \times 100.0\%$
=	8.4%

## Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

## Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

**Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 9-14/7/2021**


Q1 In the evening on July 1, a man killed himself after attacking a policeman. <b>How professional do you think the Police Force is handling this case?</b>	<b>Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,499)</b>	<b>Non-pro-democracy camp supporters<sup>[2]</sup> (Base=778)</b>	<b>Combined<sup>[3]</sup> (Base=7,277)</b>
<b>Strongly support</b>	1%	40%	25%
<b>Somewhat support</b> } <b>Support</b>	5% } 6%	23% } <b>63%</b>	16% } 41%
<b>Half-half</b>	12%	9%	10%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	14%	5%	8%
<b>Strongly oppose</b> } <b>Oppose</b>	<b>56%</b> } <b>70%</b>	15% } <b>20%</b>	31% } <b>39%</b>
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	13%	7%	9%
<b>Mean<sup>[4]</sup></b>	1.6	3.7	3.0

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

**Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type**



\* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey




**Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 9-14/7/2021**

Q2 Do you think the relationship between the police and the community will become better, worse, or remain unchanged in a year from now?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,503)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters <sup>[5]</sup> (Base=779)	Combined <sup>[6]</sup> (Base=7,282)
<b>Better</b>	4%	<b>39%</b>	25%
<b>Remains unchanged/ more or less the same</b>	35%	24%	28%
<b>Worse</b>	<b>58%</b>	26%	<b>38%</b>
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	3%	11%	21%

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

**Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type**



\* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

# Appendices

**Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents**

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	3,512	54.0%	1,276	46.6%	444	57.0%	2,197	50.6%	3,956	54.3%	3,473	49.1%
Female	2,981	45.8%	1,452	53.0%	334	42.9%	2,141	49.4%	3,315	45.5%	3,593	50.8%
Other	11	0.2%	10	0.4%	1	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	12	0.2%	10	0.1%
Total	6,504	100.0%	2,739	100.0%	779	100.0%	4,338	100.0%	7,283	100.0%	7,076	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	944	14.5%	801	29.3%	59	7.6%	504	11.6%	1,003	13.8%	1,305	18.4%
30 - 39	1,569	24.1%	367	13.4%	138	17.7%	499	11.5%	1,707	23.4%	866	12.2%
40 - 49	1,677	25.8%	520	19.0%	187	24.0%	837	19.3%	1,864	25.6%	1,357	19.2%
50 - 59	1,456	22.4%	594	21.7%	222	28.5%	1,493	34.4%	1,678	23.0%	2,087	29.5%
60 or above	855	13.2%	456	16.7%	173	22.2%	1,005	23.2%	1,028	14.1%	1,461	20.7%
Total	6,501	100.0%	2,739	100.0%	779	100.0%	4,338	100.0%	7,280	100.0%	7,076	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3		<1		0		0		3		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	12	0.2%	37	1.4%	3	0.4%	23	0.5%	15	0.2%	60	0.8%
Secondary	1,057	16.3%	1,261	46.1%	180	23.3%	1,406	32.5%	1,237	17.0%	2,667	37.7%
Tertiary or above	5,428	83.5%	1,440	52.6%	591	76.4%	2,901	67.0%	6,019	82.8%	4,341	61.4%
Total	6,497	100.0%	2,738	100.0%	774	100.0%	4,330	100.0%	7,271	100.0%	7,068	100.0%
Missing case(s)	7		<1		5		8		12		8	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,577	39.7%	387	14.1%	275	35.5%	1,167	27.0%	2,852	39.3%	1,554	22.0%
Clerks and service workers	1,719	26.5%	852	31.1%	190	24.5%	834	19.3%	1,909	26.3%	1,687	23.9%
Workers	147	2.3%	185	6.7%	23	3.0%	235	5.5%	170	2.3%	420	6.0%
Students	264	4.1%	212	7.7%	13	1.7%	263	6.1%	277	3.8%	474	6.7%
Home-makers / housewives	309	4.8%	298	10.9%	36	4.6%	371	8.6%	345	4.8%	670	9.5%
Others	1,472	22.7%	804	29.4%	238	30.7%	1,445	33.5%	1,710	23.5%	2,249	31.9%
Total	6,488	100.0%	2,738	100.0%	775	100.0%	4,316	100.0%	7,263	100.0%	7,054	100.0%
Missing case(s)	16		1		4		22		20		22	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	252	3.9%	73	2.7%	29	3.8%	131	3.0%	281	3.9%	204	2.9%
Wan Chai	165	2.6%	30	1.1%	26	3.4%	231	5.4%	191	2.7%	261	3.7%
Eastern	623	9.7%	111	4.2%	83	10.9%	344	8.0%	706	9.8%	455	6.5%
Southern	253	3.9%	137	5.1%	27	3.5%	174	4.0%	280	3.9%	311	4.5%
Yau Tsim Mong	260	4.0%	106	4.0%	34	4.5%	240	5.6%	294	4.1%	347	5.0%
Sham Shui Po	347	5.4%	70	2.6%	42	5.5%	330	7.7%	389	5.4%	401	5.8%
Kowloon City	333	5.2%	95	3.5%	46	6.0%	290	6.8%	379	5.3%	385	5.5%
Wong Tai Sin	328	5.1%	243	9.1%	36	4.7%	160	3.7%	364	5.1%	403	5.8%
Kwun Tong	471	7.3%	350	13.1%	52	6.8%	265	6.2%	523	7.3%	614	8.8%
Tsuen Wan	317	4.9%	189	7.1%	43	5.6%	217	5.1%	360	5.0%	406	5.8%
Tuen Mun	361	5.6%	262	9.8%	44	5.8%	174	4.1%	405	5.6%	437	6.3%
Yuen Long	424	6.6%	152	5.7%	60	7.9%	331	7.7%	484	6.7%	484	6.9%
North	233	3.6%	63	2.4%	21	2.8%	183	4.3%	254	3.5%	246	3.5%
Tai Po	293	4.6%	117	4.4%	33	4.3%	167	3.9%	326	4.5%	284	4.1%
Sai Kung	535	8.3%	74	2.8%	50	6.6%	347	8.1%	585	8.1%	421	6.0%
Sha Tin	730	11.4%	316	11.8%	69	9.1%	324	7.5%	799	11.1%	640	9.2%
Kwai Tsing	358	5.6%	243	9.1%	51	6.7%	345	8.0%	409	5.7%	588	8.4%
Islands	143	2.2%	37	1.4%	16	2.1%	43	1.0%	159	2.2%	80	1.1%
Total	6,426	100.0%	2,669	100.0%	762	100.0%	4,296	100.0%	7,188	100.0%	6,965	100.0%
Missing case(s)	78		69		17		42		95		111	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,779	58.1%	1,735	63.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3,779	51.9%	1,735	24.5%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	52	6.7%	597	13.8%	52	0.7%	597	8.4%
Localist	2,725	41.9%	1,003	36.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,725	37.4%	1,003	14.2%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	272	34.9%	1,426	32.9%	272	3.7%	1,426	20.1%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	455	58.4%	2,315	53.4%	455	6.2%	2,315	32.7%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	6,504	100.0%	2,739	100.0%	779	100.0%	4,338	100.0%	7,283	100.0%	7,076	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	6,156	95.1%	1,404	51.4%	473	60.8%	485	11.2%	6,629	91.4%	1,889	26.7%
Non-democratic	20	0.3%	129	4.7%	135	17.4%	2,001	46.1%	155	2.1%	2,130	30.1%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	198	3.1%	752	27.5%	139	17.9%	1,538	35.5%	337	4.6%	2,290	32.4%
Not a registered voter	102	1.6%	447	16.4%	31	4.0%	313	7.2%	133	1.8%	760	10.7%
Total	6,476	100.0%	2,731	100.0%	778	100.0%	4,337	100.0%	7,254	100.0%	7,068	100.0%

\*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp



**Appendix 2: Contact Information**

Total valid samples	7,690
Survey period	9-14/7/2021
Success rate	8.4%
Questionnaires sent out	92,035
Questionnaires received	8,205
Ineligible samples	58
Invalid samples	59
Incomplete samples	398
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.7%

### Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

#### Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 9-14/7/2021

Q1 In the evening on July 1, a man killed himself after attacking a policeman. How professional do you think the Police Force is handling this case?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,738)	Percentages (Base=4,328)	Percentages (Base=7,066)
<b>Very professional</b>	1.3%	40.1%	25.1%
<b>Somewhat professional</b>	4.5%	23.3%	16.0%
<b>Half-half</b>	12.0%	9.1%	10.2%
<b>Somewhat unprofessional</b>	13.8%	5.1%	8.4%
<b>Very unprofessional</b>	55.9%	15.1%	30.9%
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	12.5%	7.4%	9.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.6	3.7	2.9
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	4.0	3.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	10	10
<b>Net value (Professional - Unprofessional)</b>	<b>-63.8%</b>	<b>+43.3%</b>	<b>+1.8%</b>

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

**Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 9-14/7/2021**

Q2 Do you think the relationship between the police and the community will become better, worse, or remain unchanged in a year from now?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
	(Base=2,739)	(Base=4,338)	(Base=7,076)
<b>Better</b>	3.9%	38.8%	25.3%
<b>Worse</b>	57.9%	26.0%	38.3%
<b>Remains unchanged / more or less the same</b>	34.8%	24.2%	28.3%
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	3.5%	10.9%	8.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	0	<1
<b>Net value (Better - Worse)</b>	<b>-54.0%</b>	<b>+12.8%</b>	<b>-13.0%</b>

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (9-14/7/2021)

### We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at [panel@pori.hk](mailto:panel@pori.hk).

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

**\*Required**

#### Eligibility Confirmation

**Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? \***

- Yes
- No

**(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at**

**<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> \***

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

**(New member) Email used / will be used in registration: \***

---

#### We Hongkongers Series

**In the evening on July 1, a man killed himself after attacking a policeman. How professional do you think the Police Force is handling this case?**

- Very professional
- Somewhat professional

- Half-half
- Somewhat unprofessional
- Very unprofessional
- Don't know / hard to say

**Do you think the relationship between the police and the community will become better, worse, or remain unchanged in a year from now?**

- Better
- Worse
- Remains unchanged / more or less the same
- Don't know / hard to say

### Community Health

**How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?**

(Please select the closest answer)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)
- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government’s performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?**

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don’t know / hard to say

**Other Opinions**

**Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?**

- \_\_\_\_\_
- Don’t know / hard to say

**Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current ..... in Hong Kong?**

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don’t know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

**Other Opinions**

**Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?**

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)**

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## Personal Information

**(Registered member)** This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now

### Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

### Age

- \_\_\_\_\_ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

### Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

**Occupation**

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Which of the following best describes your political inclination?**

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

**Area of residence**

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing



- Islands

**Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?**

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

**End of Questionnaire**

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at [panel@pori.hk](mailto:panel@pori.hk).