

## 2020 年 6 月 9 日 新聞公報

### 民研計劃發放特首及問責司局長民望數字

#### 特別宣佈

1. 香港民意研究計劃（香港民研）前身為香港大學民意研究計劃（港大民研）。公報內的「民研計劃」指的可以是香港民研或其前身港大民研。
2. 香港民研今天發放特首及問責司局長民望，當中後者為今年 7 月 1 日前的最後一次，調查會否繼續要視乎市民是否支持。

#### 公報簡要

民研計劃於六月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式成功訪問了 1,002 名香港居民。最新結果顯示，特首林鄭月娥的最新評分為 27.8 分，民望淨值為負 54 個百分點，兩項民望數字與半個月前幾乎沒有變化。司長方面，政務司司長張建宗的支持度評分為 30.6 分，民望淨值為負 32 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 34.3 分，民望淨值為負 21 個百分點。至於律政司司長鄭若驊，其支持度評分為 18.7 分，民望淨值為負 61 個百分點。以上全部數字與一個月前分別不大。局長方面，十三位局長的民望淨值全部錄得負值。對比一個月前，一位局長的淨支持率上升，十一人下跌，一人不變，當中楊潤雄、黃錦星、徐英偉、聶德權、陳帆、薛永恒和李家超的支持率淨值變化超過抽樣誤差，分別下跌 16、14、12、9、9 和 7 個百分點和上升 10 個百分點。邱騰華的支持率淨值創其上任以來新低。調查的實效回應比率為 64.3%。在 95% 置信水平下，調查的百分比誤差不超過 +/-4%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-7%，評分誤差不超過 +/-2.5。

#### 樣本資料

調查日期	:	1-4/6/2020
調查方法	:	由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問
訪問對象	:	18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民
成功樣本數目 <sup>[1]</sup>	:	1,002 (包括 503 個固網及 499 個手機樣本)
實效回應比率 <sup>[2]</sup>	:	64.3%
抽樣誤差 <sup>[3]</sup>	:	在 95% 置信水平下，百分比誤差不超過 +/-4%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-7%，評分誤差不超過 +/-2.5
加權方法	:	按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零一九年年中人口數字》，而教育程度（最高就讀程度）及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統計數字》（2019 年版）。

- [1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目，個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。
- [2] 民研計劃在 2017 年 9 月前以「整體回應比率」彙報樣本資料，2017 年 9 月開始則以「實效回應比率」彙報。2018 年 7 月，民研計劃再調整實效回應比率的計算方法，因此改變前後的回應比率不能直接比較。
- [3] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95% 置信水平計算。95% 置信水平，是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次，則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差，傳媒引用百分比數字時，應避免使用小數點，在引用評分數字時，則可以使用一個小數點。

## 最新數據

以下是特首林鄭月娥的最新民望數字：

調查日期	17-20/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	14-17/4/20	4-6/5/20	19-21/5/20	1-4/6/20	最新變化
樣本數目	1,004	1,005	1,005	1,004	1,001	1,002	--
回應比率	62.9%	66.7%	64.5%	62.5%	55.6%	64.3%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
特首林鄭月娥評分	22.3	25.5 <sup>[4]</sup>	27.7	27.9	28.3	27.8+/-2.1	-0.5
林鄭月娥出任特首支持率	13%	16%	18%	17%	19%	18+/-2%	--
林鄭月娥出任特首反對率	77%	75%	72%	73%	73%	72+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	-64%	-60%	-54%	-56%	-54%	-54+/-5%	--

- [4] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各問責司長的最新民望數字：

調查日期	3-6/2/20	26/2/20 <sup>[5]</sup>	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	最新變化
樣本數目 <sup>[6]</sup>	569-631	1,038	646-675	672-685	574-609	562-655	--
回應比率	77.6%	75.5%	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	64.3%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
政務司司長張建宗評分	22.9	--	26.1 <sup>[7]</sup>	29.5 <sup>[7]</sup>	30.1	30.6+/-2.4	+0.5
張建宗出任政務司司長支持率	12%	--	13%	16%	17%	19+/-3%	+2%
張建宗出任政務司司長反對率	59%	--	56%	50% <sup>[7]</sup>	49%	51+/-4%	+2%
支持率淨值	-47%	--	-42%	-34% <sup>[7]</sup>	-32%	-32+/-6%	--
財政司司長陳茂波評分	26.6	43.5 <sup>[7]</sup>	32.3 <sup>[7]</sup>	32.4	33.3	34.3+/-2.5	+1.0
陳茂波出任財政司司長支持率	19%	27 <sup>[7]</sup>	22% <sup>[7]</sup>	23%	25%	26+/-3%	+1%
陳茂波出任財政司司長反對率	55%	41 <sup>[7]</sup>	50% <sup>[7]</sup>	49%	49%	47+/-4%	-2%
支持率淨值	-36%	-14% <sup>[7]</sup>	-28% <sup>[7]</sup>	-26%	-24%	-21+/-6%	+3%
律政司司長鄭若驊評分	15.9	--	16.7	18.7	20.2	18.7+/-2.4	-1.5
鄭若驊出任律政司司長支持率	9%	--	8%	7%	8%	11+/-3%	+3%
鄭若驊出任律政司司長反對率	71% <sup>[7]</sup>	--	71%	70%	69%	72+/-4%	+3%
支持率淨值	-61% <sup>[7]</sup>	--	-64%	-63%	-61%	-61+/-6%	--

- [5] 調查為財政預算案即時調查，只問及財政司司長評分及支持率。

- [6] 民研計劃在 2020 年 3 月前彙報的次樣本數目為加權數字，2020 年 3 月開始則以原始數字彙報。

- [7] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各問責局長的最新民望數字，按支持率淨值倒序排列<sup>[8]</sup>：

調查日期	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	最新變化
樣本數目 <sup>[9]</sup>	595-634	576-631	611-659	577-1,002	--
回應比率	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	64.3%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
陳肇始出任食物及衛生局局長支持率	23%	23%	32% <sup>[10]</sup>	32+/-4%	--
陳肇始出任食物及衛生局局長反對率	51%	44% <sup>[10]</sup>	37% <sup>[10]</sup>	37+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	-28%	-21%	-5% <sup>[10]</sup>	-5+/-7%	--
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長支持率	--	--	19%	16+/-3%	-3%
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長反對率	--	--	20%	22+/-4%	+3%
支持率淨值	--	--	-1%	-6+/-5%	-5%
黃錦星出任環境局局長支持率	23%	23%	29% <sup>[10]</sup>	20+/-3%	-9% <sup>[10]</sup>
黃錦星出任環境局局長反對率	31%	28%	23%	28+/-4%	+5% <sup>[10]</sup>
支持率淨值	-9%	-5%	7% <sup>[10]</sup>	-7+/-6%	-14% <sup>[10]</sup>
薛永恒出任創新及科技局局長支持率	--	--	20%	18+/-3%	-2%
薛永恒出任創新及科技局局長反對率	--	--	23%	28+/-3%	+5% <sup>[10]</sup>
支持率淨值	--	--	-3%	-10+/-4%	-7% <sup>[10]</sup>
黃偉綸出任發展局局長支持率	16%	17%	20%	17+/-3%	-2%
黃偉綸出任發展局局長反對率	27%	27%	23%	29+/-4%	+5% <sup>[10]</sup>
支持率淨值	-11%	-10%	-4%	-11+/-6%	-8%
徐英偉出任民政事務局局長支持率	--	--	19%	18+/-3%	-1%
徐英偉出任民政事務局局長反對率	--	--	22%	33+/-4%	+11% <sup>[10]</sup>
支持率淨值	--	--	-3%	-15+/-6%	-12% <sup>[10]</sup>
羅致光出任勞工及福利局局長支持率	24%	26%	26%	26+/-4%	--
羅致光出任勞工及福利局局長反對率	41%	37%	38%	41+/-4%	+4%
支持率淨值	-17%	-11%	-12%	-15+/-7%	-3%
聶德權出任公務員事務局局長支持率	--	--	25%	21+/-3%	-4%
聶德權出任公務員事務局局長反對率	--	--	34%	39+/-4%	+5%
支持率淨值	--	--	-9%	-18+/-6%	-9% <sup>[10]</sup>
曾國衛出任政制及內地事務局局長支持率	--	--	19%	21+/-4%	+1%
曾國衛出任政制及內地事務局局長反對率	--	--	37%	42+/-4%	+5%
支持率淨值	--	--	-18%	-21+/-7%	-4%
邱騰華出任商務及經濟發展局局長支持率	23%	26%	23%	20+/-3%	-3%
邱騰華出任商務及經濟發展局局長反對率	33% <sup>[10]</sup>	35%	42% <sup>[10]</sup>	48+/-4%	+6% <sup>[10]</sup>
支持率淨值	-10%	-9%	-19% <sup>[10]</sup>	-28+/-7%	-8%
陳帆出任運輸及房屋局局長支持率	19%	24% <sup>[10]</sup>	22%	16+/-2%	-6% <sup>[10]</sup>
陳帆出任運輸及房屋局局長反對率	44%	42%	42%	45+/-3%	+3%
支持率淨值	-25%	-18%	-20%	-29+/-5%	-9% <sup>[10]</sup>

調查日期	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	最新變化
樣本數目 <sup>[9]</sup>	595-634	576-631	611-659	577-1,002	--
回應比率	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	64.3%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
李家超出任保安局局長支持率	13%	18% <sup>[10]</sup>	17%	23+/-3%	+6% <sup>[10]</sup>
李家超出任保安局局長反對率	68%	59% <sup>[10]</sup>	64% <sup>[10]</sup>	60+/-3%	-4%
支持率淨值	-54%	-41% <sup>[10]</sup>	-47%	-37+/-5%	+10% <sup>[10]</sup>
楊潤雄出任教育局局長支持率	19%	18%	18%	13+/-3%	-6% <sup>[10]</sup>
楊潤雄出任教育局局長反對率	53% <sup>[10]</sup>	53%	54%	64+/-4%	+10% <sup>[10]</sup>
支持率淨值	-34%	-36%	-35%	-51+/-6%	-16% <sup>[10]</sup>

[8] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

[9] 民研計劃在 2020 年 3 月前彙報的次樣本數目為加權數字，2020 年 3 月開始則以原始數字彙報。

[10] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

最新調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的評分為 27.8 分，其支持率為 18%，反對率為 72%，民望淨值為負 54 個百分點，全部數字與半個月前幾乎沒有變化。

司長方面，政務司司長張建宗的支持度評分為 30.6 分，支持率為 19%，反對率為 51%，民望淨值為負 32 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 34.3 分，支持率為 26%，反對率為 47%，民望淨值為負 21 個百分點。至於律政司司長鄭若驊，其支持度評分為 18.7 分，支持率為 11%，反對率為 72%，民望淨值為負 61 個百分點。以上全部數字與一個月前分別不大。

局長方面，十三位局長的民望淨值全部錄得負值，排名首位的是食物及衛生局局長陳肇始，然後是財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇、環境局局長黃錦星、創新及科技局局長薛永恆、發展局局長黃偉綸、民政事務局局長徐英偉、勞工及福利局局長羅致光、公務員事務局局長聶德權、政制及內地事務局局長曾國衛、商務及經濟發展局局長邱騰華、運輸及房屋局局長陳帆、保安局局長李家超及教育局局長楊潤雄。

對比一個月前，一位局長的淨支持率上升，十一人下跌，一人不變，當中楊潤雄、黃錦星、徐英偉、聶德權、陳帆、薛永恆和李家超的支持率淨值變化超過抽樣誤差，分別下跌 16、14、12、9、9 和 7 個百分點和上升 10 個百分點。邱騰華的支持率淨值創其上任以來新低。

根據民研計劃的標準，沒有官員屬於「表現理想」或「表現成功」，陳肇始、羅致光、陳茂波、聶德權、曾國衛、邱騰華、徐英偉及陳帆屬於「表現一般」，黃錦星、薛永恆、黃偉綸及許正宇屬於「表現不彰」，楊潤雄、李家超及張建宗屬於「表現失敗」，林鄭月娥及鄭若驊屬於「表現拙劣」。

以下是特首林鄭月娥及各問責官員民望級別總表：

「表現理想」：支持率超過 66% 者，以支持率排名 <sup>[11]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現成功」：支持率超過 50% 者，以支持率排名 <sup>[11]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員

「表現一般」：非其他五類者，以支持率排名 <sup>[11]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
食物及衛生局局長陳肇始（32%） 勞工及福利局局長羅致光（26%） 財政司司長陳茂波（26%） 公務員事務局局長聶德權（21%） 政制及內地事務局局長曾國衛（21%） 商務及經濟發展局局長邱騰華（20%） 民政事務局局長徐英偉（18%） 運輸及房屋局局長陳帆（16%）
「表現不彰」：認知率不足 50%者，以支持率排名 <sup>[11]</sup> ，括弧內第一數字為支持率，第二數字為認知率
環境局局長黃錦星（20%，48%） 創新及科技局局長薛永恒（18%，46%） 發展局局長黃偉綸（17%，46%） 財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇（16%，39%）
「表現失敗」：反對率超過 50%者，以反對率排名 <sup>[11]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
教育局局長楊潤雄（64%） 保安局局長李家超（60%） 政務司司長張建宗（51%）
「表現拙劣」：反對率超過 66%者，以反對率排名 <sup>[11]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
特首林鄭月娥（72%） 律政司司長鄭若驊（72%）

[11] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

## 民意日誌

民研計劃於 2007 年開始與慧科訊業有限公司合作，由慧科訊業按照民研計劃設計的分析方法，將每日大事記錄傳送至民研計劃，經民研計劃核實後成為「民意日誌」。

由於本新聞公報所涉及的部分調查項目，上次調查日期為 4-6/5/2020，而今次調查日期則為 1-4/6/2020，因此是次公報中的「民意日誌」項目便以上述日期為依歸，讓讀者作出比較。以涵蓋率不下 25%本地報章每日頭條新聞和報社評論計，在上述期間發生的相關大事包括以下事件，讀者可以自行判斷有關事件有否影響各項民調數字：

4/6/20	六四悼念活動於多區進行
3/6/20	國務院副總理韓正與林鄭月娥會晤
2/6/20	香港再出現本地感染新冠肺炎個案，限聚令延長 14 日
29/5/20	特朗普公布有關中國及香港的新措施，並指中國以「一國一制」取代「一國兩制」
28/5/20	全國人大通過訂立港區國安法
27/5/20	反國歌法及國安法示威中逾 360 人被捕
24/5/20	反國安法遊行於港島舉行，逾 180 人被捕
22/5/20	國安法實施後將有中央政府國安機構駐港
21/5/20	全國人大將審議港區國安法
19/5/20	香港失業率升至 5.2%
18/5/20	李慧琼當選立法會內會主席
16/5/20	考評局通識科兩經理辭職

15/5/20	監警會發表報告指沒有任何證據顯示 8.31 太子站事件中有人死亡
13/5/20	香港新增 2 宗本地感染之新冠肺炎個案，失守 23 日本地零確診
12/5/20	政府放寬保就業計劃申請條件
11/5/20	海洋公園申請政府 54 億注資免倒閉
8/5/20	立法會內會發生衝突，11 名民主派議員被逐離場
4/5/20	香港第一季本地生產總值按年下跌 8.9%

## 數據分析

調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的最新評分為 27.8 分，民望淨值為負 54 個百分點，兩項民望數字與半個月前幾乎沒有變化。

司長方面，政務司司長張建宗的支持度評分為 30.6 分，民望淨值為負 32 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 34.3 分，民望淨值為負 21 個百分點。至於律政司司長鄭若驊，其支持度評分為 18.7 分，民望淨值為負 61 個百分點。以上全部數字與一個月前分別不大。

局長方面，十三位局長的民望淨值全部錄得負值。對比一個月前，一位局長的淨支持率上升，十一人下跌，一人不變，當中楊潤雄、黃錦星、徐英偉、聶德權、陳帆、薛永恒和李家超的支持率淨值變化超過抽樣誤差，分別下跌 16、14、12、9、9 和 7 個百分點和上升 10 個百分點。邱騰華的支持率淨值創其上任以來新低。



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM  
HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111

Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: <https://www.pori.hk>

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

## Press Release on June 9, 2020

### POP releases popularity figures of CE and principal officials

#### Special Announcements

1. The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) was The Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this release can refer to HKPOP or its predecessor HKUPOP.
2. HKPOP today releases the latest popularity figures of Chief Executive and principal officials, of which the latter will be the last round before July 1, 2020. Whether the survey will be continued depends on the amount of public support.

#### Abstract

POP successfully interviewed 1,002 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers in early June. Latest results show that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam now stands at 27.8 marks. Her net popularity is negative 54 percentage points. Both popularity figures have remained basically the same as those half a month ago. As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS Matthew Cheung is 30.6 marks and his net popularity is negative 32 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 34.3 marks and his net popularity is negative 21 percentage points. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 18.7 marks and her net popularity is negative 61 percentage points. All popularity figures above have not changed much from a month ago. As for the Directors of Bureaux, all 13 of them register negative net approval rates. Compared to one month ago, the net approval rate of 1 Director has gone up, 11 have gone down while 1 remains unchanged. Those of Kevin Yeung, Wong Kam-sing, Caspar Tsui, Patrick Nip, Frank Chan, Alfred Sit and John Lee have changed beyond sampling error, down by 16, 14, 12, 9, 9 and 7 percentage points and up by 10 percentage points respectively. The net approval rate of Edward Yau has registered historical low since he took office. The effective response rate of the survey is 64.3%. The maximum sampling error of percentages is +/-4%, that of net values is +/-7% and that of ratings is +/-2.5 at 95% confidence level.

#### Contact Information

Date of survey	: 1-4/6/2020
Survey method	: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
Target population	: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
Sample size <sup>[1]</sup>	: 1,002 (including 503 landline and 499 mobile samples)
Effective response rate <sup>[2]</sup>	: 64.3%
Sampling error <sup>[3]</sup>	: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-7% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.5 at 95% conf. level

Weighting method : Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2019”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2019 Edition)”.

- [1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.
- [2] Before September 2017, “overall response rate” was used to report surveys’ contact information. Starting from September 2017, “effective response rate” was used. In July 2018, POP further revised the calculation of effective response rate. Thus, the response rates before and after the change cannot be directly compared.
- [3] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

### **Latest Figures**

Recent popularity figures of CE Carrie Lam are summarized as follows:

Date of survey	17-20/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	14-17/4/20	4-6/5/20	19-21/5/20	1-4/6/20	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	1,004	1,005	1,005	1,004	1,001	<b>1,002</b>	--
Response rate	62.9%	66.7%	64.5%	62.5%	55.6%	<b>64.3%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Rating of CE Carrie Lam	22.3	25.5 <sup>[4]</sup>	27.7	27.9	28.3	<b>27.8+/-2.1</b>	<b>-0.5</b>
Vote of confidence in CE Carrie Lam	13%	16%	18%	17%	19%	<b>18+/-2%</b>	--
Vote of no confidence in CE Carrie Lam	77%	75%	72%	73%	73%	<b>72+/-3%</b>	--
Net approval rate	-64%	-60%	-54%	-56%	-54%	<b>-54+/-5%</b>	--

- [4] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Recent popularity figures of the three Secretaries of Departments under the accountability system are summarized below:

Date of survey	3-6/2/20	26/2/20 <sup>[5]</sup>	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size <sup>[6]</sup>	569-631	1,038	646-675	672-685	574-609	<b>562-655</b>	--
Response rate	77.6%	75.5%	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	<b>64.3%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Rating of CS Matthew Cheung	22.9	--	26.1 <sup>[7]</sup>	29.5 <sup>[7]</sup>	30.1	<b>30.6+/-2.4</b>	<b>+0.5</b>
Vote of confidence in CS Matthew Cheung	12%	--	13%	16%	17%	<b>19+/-3%</b>	<b>+2%</b>
Vote of no confidence in CS Matthew Cheung	59%	--	56%	50% <sup>[7]</sup>	49%	<b>51+/-4%</b>	<b>+2%</b>
Net approval rate	-47%	--	-42%	-34% <sup>[7]</sup>	-32%	<b>-32+/-6%</b>	--



Date of survey	3-6/2/20	26/2/20 <sup>[5]</sup>	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size <sup>[6]</sup>	569-631	1,038	646-675	672-685	574-609	562-655	--
Response rate	77.6%	75.5%	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	64.3%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Rating of FS Paul Chan	26.6	43.5 <sup>[7]</sup>	32.3 <sup>[7]</sup>	32.4	33.3	34.3+/-2.5	+1.0
Vote of confidence in FS Paul Chan	19%	27 <sup>[7]</sup>	22% <sup>[7]</sup>	23%	25%	26+/-3%	+1%
Vote of no confidence in FS Paul Chan	55%	41 <sup>[7]</sup>	50% <sup>[7]</sup>	49%	49%	47+/-4%	-2%
Net approval rate	-36%	-14% <sup>[7]</sup>	-28% <sup>[7]</sup>	-26%	-24%	-21+/-6%	+3%
Rating of SJ Teresa Cheng	15.9	--	16.7	18.7	20.2	18.7+/-2.4	-1.5
Vote of confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	9%	--	8%	7%	8%	11+/-3%	+3%
Vote of no confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	71% <sup>[7]</sup>	--	71%	70%	69%	72+/-4%	+3%
Net approval rate	-61% <sup>[7]</sup>	--	-64%	-63%	-61%	-61+/-6%	--

[5] The survey was the Budget instant poll and only asked about the rating of FS and vote of confidence in him.

[6] Before March 2020, weighted count was used to report subsample size. Starting from March 2020, raw count was used instead.

[7] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Latest popularity figures of Directors of Bureaux under the accountability system are summarized below, in descending order of net approval rates<sup>[8]</sup>:

Date of survey	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size <sup>[9]</sup>	595-634	576-631	611-659	577-1,002	--
Response rate	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	64.3%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan	23%	23%	32% <sup>[10]</sup>	32+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan	51%	44% <sup>[10]</sup>	37% <sup>[10]</sup>	37+/-4%	--
Net approval rate	-28%	-21%	-5% <sup>[10]</sup>	-5+/-7%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	--	--	19%	16+/-3%	-3%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	--	--	20%	22+/-4%	+3%
Net approval rate	--	--	-1%	-6+/-5%	-5%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing	23%	23%	29% <sup>[10]</sup>	20+/-3%	-9% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing	31%	28%	23%	28+/-4%	+5% <sup>[10]</sup>
Net approval rate	-9%	-5%	7% <sup>[10]</sup>	-7+/-6%	-14% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit	--	--	20%	18+/-3%	-2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit	--	--	23%	28+/-3%	+5% <sup>[10]</sup>
Net approval rate	--	--	-3%	-10+/-4%	-7% <sup>[10]</sup>

Date of survey	27/2-3/3/20	30/3-2/4/20	4-6/5/20	1-4/6/20	<i>Latest change</i>
Sample size <sup>[9]</sup>	595-634	576-631	611-659	<b>577-1,002</b>	--
Response rate	67.1%	66.7%	62.5%	<b>64.3%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Development Michael Wong	16%	17%	20%	<b>17+/-3%</b>	-2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Development Michael Wong	27%	27%	23%	<b>29+/-4%</b>	+5% <sup>[10]</sup>
Net approval rate	-11%	-10%	-4%	<b>-11+/-6%</b>	-8%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui	--	--	19%	<b>18+/-3%</b>	-1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui	--	--	22%	<b>33+/-4%</b>	+11% <sup>[10]</sup>
Net approval rate	--	--	-3%	<b>-15+/-6%</b>	-12% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong	24%	26%	26%	<b>26+/-4%</b>	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong	41%	37%	38%	<b>41+/-4%</b>	+4%
Net approval rate	-17%	-11%	-12%	<b>-15+/-7%</b>	-3%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip	--	--	25%	<b>21+/-3%</b>	-4%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip	--	--	34%	<b>39+/-4%</b>	+5%
Net approval rate	--	--	-9%	<b>-18+/-6%</b>	-9% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	--	--	19%	<b>21+/-4%</b>	+1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	--	--	37%	<b>42+/-4%</b>	+5%
Net approval rate	--	--	-18%	<b>-21+/-7%</b>	-4%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau	23%	26%	23%	<b>20+/-3%</b>	-3%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau	33% <sup>[10]</sup>	35%	42% <sup>[10]</sup>	<b>48+/-4%</b>	+6% <sup>[10]</sup>
Net approval rate	-10%	-9%	-19% <sup>[10]</sup>	<b>-28+/-7%</b>	-8%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan	19%	24% <sup>[10]</sup>	22%	<b>16+/-2%</b>	-6% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan	44%	42%	42%	<b>45+/-3%</b>	+3%
Net approval rate	-25%	-18%	-20%	<b>-29+/-5%</b>	-9% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Security John Lee	13%	18% <sup>[10]</sup>	17%	<b>23+/-3%</b>	+6% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Security John Lee	68%	59% <sup>[10]</sup>	64% <sup>[10]</sup>	<b>60+/-3%</b>	-4%
Net approval rate	-54%	-41% <sup>[10]</sup>	-47%	<b>-37+/-5%</b>	+10% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung	19%	18%	18%	<b>13+/-3%</b>	-6% <sup>[10]</sup>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung	53% <sup>[10]</sup>	53%	54%	<b>64+/-4%</b>	+10% <sup>[10]</sup>
Net approval rate	-34%	-36%	-35%	<b>-51+/-6%</b>	-16% <sup>[10]</sup>

[8] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

- [9] Before March 2020, weighted count was used to report subsample size. Starting from March 2020, raw count was used instead.
- [10] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam now stands at 27.8 marks. Her approval rate is 18%, disapproval rate 72%, giving a net popularity of negative 54 percentage points. All popularity figures have remained basically the same as those half a month ago.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS Matthew Cheung is 30.6 marks. His approval rate is 19%, disapproval rate 51%, giving a net popularity of negative 32 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 34.3 marks, approval rate 26%, disapproval rate 47%, thus a net popularity of negative 21 percentage points. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 18.7 marks, approval rate 11%, disapproval rate 72%, giving a net popularity of negative 61 percentage points. All popularity figures above have not changed much from a month ago.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, all 13 of them register negative net approval rates. The top position goes to Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan, followed by Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui, Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing, Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit, Secretary for Development Michael Wong, Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui, Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong, Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang, Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau, Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan, Secretary for Security John Lee and Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung.

Compared to one month ago, the net approval rate of 1 Director has gone up, 11 have gone down while 1 remains unchanged. Those of Kevin Yeung, Wong Kam-sing, Caspar Tsui, Patrick Nip, Frank Chan, Alfred Sit and John Lee have changed beyond sampling error, down by 16, 14, 12, 9, 9 and 7 percentage points and up by 10 percentage points respectively. The net approval rate of Edward Yau has registered historical low since he took office.

According to POP's standard, no one falls under the category of "ideal" or "successful" performer. The performance of Sophia Chan, Law Chi-kwong, Paul Chan, Patrick Nip, Erick Tsang, Edward Yau, Caspar Tsui and Frank Chan can be labeled as "mediocre". That of Wong Kam-sing, Alfred Sit, Michael Wong and Christopher Hui can be labeled as "inconspicuous". Kevin Yeung, John Lee and Matthew Cheung fall into the category of "depressing" performer, while Carrie Lam and Teresa Cheng fall into that of "disastrous".

The following table summarizes the grading of CE Carrie Lam and the principal officials:

<b>"Ideal": those with approval rates of over 66%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets<sup>[11]</sup></b>
Nil
<b>"Successful": those with approval rates of over 50%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets<sup>[11]</sup></b>
Nil

<b>“Mediocre”:</b> those not belonging to other 5 types; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[11]</sup>
Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan Siu-chee (32%) Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong (26%) FS Paul Chan Mo-po (26%) Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip Tak-kuen (21%) Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang Kwok-wai (21%) Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau Tang-wah (20%) Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui Ying-wai (18%) Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan Fan (16%)
<b>“Inconspicuous”:</b> those with recognition rates of less than 50%; ranked by their approval rates <sup>[11]</sup> ; the first figure inside bracket is approval rate while the second figure is recognition rate
Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing (20%, 48%) Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit Wing-hang (18%, 46%) Secretary for Development Michael Wong Wai-lun (17%, 46%) Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui Ching-yu (16%, 39%)
<b>“Depressing”:</b> those with disapproval rates of over 50%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[11]</sup>
Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung Yun-hung (64%) Secretary for Security John Lee Ka-chiu (60%) CS Matthew Cheung Kin-chung (51%)
<b>“Disastrous”:</b> those with disapproval rates of over 66%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[11]</sup>
CE Carrie Lam Cheng Yuet-ngor (72%) SJ Teresa Cheng Yeuk-wah (72%)

[11] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

## **Opinion Daily**

In 2007, POP started collaborating with Wisers Information Limited whereby Wisers supplies to POP a record of significant events of that day according to the research method designed by POP. These daily entries would then become “Opinion Daily” after they are verified by POP.

For some of the polling items covered in this press release, the previous survey was conducted from 4 to 6 May, 2020 while this survey was conducted from 1 to 4 June, 2020. During this period, herewith the significant events selected from counting newspaper headlines and commentaries on a daily basis and covered by at least 25% of the local newspaper articles. Readers can make their own judgment if these significant events have any impacts to different polling figures.

4/6/20	June 4 vigils are held in various districts.
3/6/20	Vice-Premier of the State Council Han Zheng meets Carrie Lam.
2/6/20	Local infections with coronavirus appear in Hong Kong again. Prohibition on group gathering is extended for 14 days.
29/5/20	Donald Trump announces new measures toward China and Hong Kong and says China has replaced one country, two systems with one country, one system.
28/5/20	National People’s Congress passes resolution to enact national security law in Hong Kong.
27/5/20	Over 360 people are arrested in protests against the National Anthem Bill and the national security law.
24/5/20	People rally against the national security law on Hong Kong Island. Over 180 people are arrested.
22/5/20	The Central Government will set up national security agencies in Hong Kong after implementation of national security law.
21/5/20	National People’s Congress will deliberate on national security law in Hong Kong.

19/5/20	Unemployment rate in Hong Kong rises to 5.2%.
18/5/20	Starry Lee Wai-king is elected the chairperson of the House Committee of the Legislative Council.
16/5/20	Two managers of liberal studies resign from the Hong Kong Examinations and Assessment Authority.
15/5/20	Independent Police Complaints Council releases a report saying there is no evidence of casualties in the Prince Edward MTR incident on August 31.
13/5/20	Two local infections with coronavirus end Hong Kong's 23-day streak of no local transmission.
12/5/20	The government relaxes eligibility criteria to the Wage Subsidy Scheme.
11/5/20	Ocean Park seeks \$5.4 billion government bailout to avoid shut down.
8/5/20	Eleven democrats get thrown out after conflicts occur in a meeting of the House Committee of the Legislative Council.
4/5/20	Hong Kong's GDP drops by 8.9% year-on-year in the first quarter.

### **Data Analysis**

The latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam now stands at 27.8 marks. Her net popularity is negative 54 percentage points. Both popularity figures have remained basically the same as those half a month ago.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS Matthew Cheung is 30.6 marks and his net popularity is negative 32 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 34.3 marks and his net popularity is negative 21 percentage points. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 18.7 marks and her net popularity is negative 61 percentage points. All popularity figures above have not changed much from a month ago.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, all 13 of them register negative net approval rates. Compared to one month ago, the net approval rate of 1 Director has gone up, 11 have gone down while 1 remains unchanged. Those of Kevin Yeung, Wong Kam-sing, Caspar Tsui, Patrick Nip, Frank Chan, Alfred Sit and John Lee have changed beyond sampling error, down by 16, 14, 12, 9, 9 and 7 percentage points and up by 10 percentage points respectively. The net approval rate of Edward Yau has registered historical low since he took office.