

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 105 cum "We Hongkongers" Research Report No. 71

Survey Date: 10 to 13 October 2021

Release Date: 15 October 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). "POP" in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 105 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 71 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The research is co-organized with the Election Observation Project on the theme "Election Credibility and Likelihood to Vote".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	10 October, 3pm – 13 October, 3pm
Total sample size	6,192
Response rate	6.9%
Sampling error [1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

^[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the "HKPOP Panel" recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses "HKPOP Panel" as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.


All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)



.

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above

- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong

- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank / void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q1 How satisfied or dissatisfied a of the Election Committee Subset September 19?	•	Pro-demod supporters (eracy camp (Base=5,258)	_	mocracy camp [2] (Base=611)		Dined ^[3] =5,869)
Very much satisfied Somewhat satisfied	} Satisfied	4% 0%	}4%	11% 13%	}24%	9% 9%	} 18%
Half-half	f	29	0%	1	7%	1:	3%
Somewhat dissatisfied Very much dissatisfied	} Dissatisfied	14% 52%	}66%	10% 25%	}34%	11% 33%	}44%
Don't know / ha	rd to say	28	3%	2	5%	2	6%
Mean ^[4]		1	.5	2	2.7	2	2.3

^[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

^[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



 $^{* \} Include: pro-establishment \ camp \ supporters, \ centrist \ supporters, \ no \ political \ inclination \ / \ politically \ neutral \ / \ do \ not \ belong \ to \ any \ camp$

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q2 How would you rate the cre Affairs Commission?	dibility of the Electoral		eracy camp (Base=5,258)	_	mocracy camp [5] (Base=612)		bined ^[6] =5,870)
Very high Somewhat high	} High	4% 3%	} 7%	13% 18%	}31%	10% 13%	}24%
Half-ha	alf	4'	0%	1	6%	1	2%
Somewhat low Very low	} Low	18% 66%	}84%	7% 35%	}42%	10% 45%	} 55%
Don't know / h	ard to say	59	0%	1	1%	9	9%
Mean [[]	7]	1	.5		2.6	,	2.3

^[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

^[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey


Table 5: Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q3 Are you a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,254)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[8] (Base=610)	Combined ^[9] (Base=5,864)
Yes	75%	81%	79%
No	20%	12%	14%
Don't know / hard to say	6%	7%	6%

^[8] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[9] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 3: Q3 Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 6: Q4a Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4a If mainstream democrats cannot run in the election, would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? [10]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,001)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[11] (Base=553)	Combined ^[12] (Base=5,554)
More likely to vote	4%	6%	6%
No effect	18%	55%	44%
Less likely to vote	74%	35%	46%
Don't know / hard to say	4%	4%	4%
Net value	-70%	-28%	-40%

^[10] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[11] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[12] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 4: Q4a Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 7: Q4b Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4b If <u>localists cannot run in the election</u> , would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? ^[13]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,999)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[14] (Base=553)	Combined ^[15] (Base=5,552)
More likely to vote	4%	10%	8%
No effect	17%	55%	44%
Less likely to vote	76%	30%	43%
Don't know / hard to say	4%	5%	5%
Net value	-73%	-20%	-35%

^[13] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[14] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[15] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 5: Q4b Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 8: Q4c Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4c If <u>almost all candidates are from the pro-</u> <u>establishment camp</u> , would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? ^[16]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,999)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[17] (Base=552)	Combined ^[18] (Base=5,551)
More likely to vote	4%	5%	5%
No effect	13%	49%	39%
Less likely to vote	80%	42%	53%
Don't know / hard to say	4%	4%	4%
Net value	-77%	-36%	-48%

^[16] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[17] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[18] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 6: Q4c Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 9: Q4d Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4d If <u>the pandemic has got worse</u> , would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? [19]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,992)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[20] (Base=552)	Combined ^[21] (Base=5,544)
More likely to vote	1%	2%	2%
No effect	72%	53%	59%
Less likely to vote	28%	35%	33%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	10%	7%
Net value	-27%	-33%	-31%

^[19] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[20] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[21] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 7: Q4d Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 10: Q4e Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4e If there is a high-profile police presence on election day, would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? [22]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,999)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[23] (Base=553)	Combined ^[24] (Base=5,552)
More likely to vote	4%	11%	9%
No effect	35%	58%	52%
Less likely to vote	55%	29%	37%
Don't know / hard to say	7%	1%	3%
Net value	-51%	-18%	-28%

^[22] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[23] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[24] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 8: Q4e Combined chart, by camp type



 $^{* \} Include: pro-establishment \ camp \ supporters, \ centrist \ supporters, \ no \ political \ inclination \ / \ politically \ neutral \ / \ do \ not \ belong \ to \ any \ camp$

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 11: Q4f Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4f If the government calls on people to vote through various promotion channels, would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? [25]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,999)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[26] (Base=553)	Combined ^[27] (Base=5,552)
More likely to vote	5%	21%	17%
No effect	51%	62%	59%
Less likely to vote	41%	10%	19%
Don't know / hard to say	4%	7%	6%
Net value	-36%	12%	-2%

^[25] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[26] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[27] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 9: Q4f Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 12: Q4g Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4a If SAR government officials call on people to vote, would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? [28]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,001)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[29] (Base=553)	Combined ^[30] (Base=5,554)
More likely to vote	3%	14%	11%
No effect	48%	65%	60%
Less likely to vote	45%	16%	24%
Don't know / hard to say	4%	5%	5%
Net value	-41%	-1%	-13%

^[28] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[29] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[30] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 10: Q4g Combined chart, by camp type



 $^{* \} Include: pro-establishment \ camp \ supporters, \ centrist \ supporters, \ no \ political \ inclination \ / \ politically \ neutral \ / \ do \ not \ belong \ to \ any \ camp$

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 13: Q4h Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021


Q4h If <u>Central government officials such as those from</u> the <u>Liaison Office call on people to vote</u> , would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December? [31]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,998)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[32] (Base=552)	Combined ^[33] (Base=5,550)
More likely to vote	3%	10%	8%
No effect	34%	60%	53%
Less likely to vote	55%	25%	34%
Don't know / hard to say	7%	5%	5%
Net value	-51%	-15%	-26%

^[31] Excluding those answered "no, not a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December" in Q3

^[32] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[33] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 11: Q4h Combined chart, by camp type



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total

		Raw sample		Weight	Weighted sample Raw sample Weighted sample Raw		Raw	Raw sample		ed sample			
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male		3,021	57.4%	725	41.3%	373	60.9%	1,992	50.2%	3,394	57.8%	2,717	47.5%
Female		2,236	42.5%	1,030	58.7%	238	38.9%	1,976	49.8%	2,474	42.1%	3,006	52.5%
Other		6	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	7	0.1%	1	<0.1%
	Total	5,263	100.0%	1,756	100.0%	612	100.0%	3,968	100.0%	5,875	100.0%	5,724	100.0%
	Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age: Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------

	Raw sample		Weight	ed sample	ole Raw sample Weighted sample Raw sam		sample	e Weighted sample				
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	770	14.6%	589	33.5%	37	6.0%	365	9.2%	807	13.7%	953	16.7%
30 - 39	1,270	24.1%	190	10.8%	112	18.3%	704	17.7%	1,382	23.5%	894	15.6%
40 - 49	1,289	24.5%	240	13.7%	135	22.1%	687	17.3%	1,424	24.2%	927	16.2%
50 - 59	1,201	22.8%	442	25.2%	168	27.5%	1,355	34.2%	1,369	23.3%	1,797	31.4%
60 or above	732	13.9%	295	16.8%	160	26.1%	857	21.6%	892	15.2%	1,152	20.1%
Total	5,262	100.0%	1,756	100.0%	612	100.0%	3,968	100.0%	5,874	100.0%	5,724	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters					Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Primary or below	8	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	1	0.2%	13	0.3%	9	0.2%	13	0.2%	
Secondary	773	14.7%	737	42.0%	147	24.1%	1,162	29.3%	920	15.7%	1,899	33.2%	
Tertiary or above	4,479	85.2%	1,017	58.0%	461	75.7%	2,791	70.4%	4,940	84.2%	3,808	66.6%	
Total	5,260	100.0%	1,754	100.0%	609	100.0%	3,965	100.0%	5,869	100.0%	5,719	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	3		2		3		2		6		4		

Occupation:	Pro-	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw	sample	Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Administrators and professionals	2,151	41.0%	221	12.6%	215	35.2%	969	24.4%	2,366	40.4%	1,190	20.8%	
Clerks and service workers	1,375	26.2%	482	27.5%	148	24.3%	1,196	30.2%	1,523	26.0%	1,678	29.3%	
Workers	110	2.1%	9	0.5%	22	3.6%	189	4.8%	132	2.3%	198	3.5%	
Students	212	4.0%	429	24.5%	9	1.5%	206	5.2%	221	3.8%	635	11.1%	
Home-makers / housewives	224	4.3%	298	17.0%	21	3.4%	227	5.7%	245	4.2%	525	9.2%	
Others	1,180	22.5%	314	17.9%	195	32.0%	1,178	29.7%	1,375	23.5%	1,493	26.1%	
Total	5,252	100.0%	1,753	100.0%	610	100.0%	3,966	100.0%	5,862	100.0%	5,719	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	11		4		2		1		13		5		

District:	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pr	o-democrac	cy camp si	upporters #	Total			
	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	214	4.1%	17	1.0%	29	4.8%	129	3.3%	243	4.2%	147	2.6%
Wan Chai	132	2.5%	9	0.5%	16	2.7%	38	1.0%	148	2.5%	47	0.8%
Eastern	519	10.0%	158	9.0%	64	10.6%	353	9.0%	583	10.0%	510	9.0%
Southern	216	4.2%	65	3.7%	20	3.3%	217	5.5%	236	4.1%	281	5.0%
Yau Tsim Mong	227	4.4%	153	8.7%	26	4.3%	106	2.7%	253	4.4%	258	4.6%
Sham Shui Po	270	5.2%	92	5.2%	27	4.5%	214	5.5%	297	5.1%	306	5.4%
Kowloon City	279	5.4%	52	3.0%	38	6.3%	262	6.7%	317	5.5%	313	5.5%
Wong Tai Sin	249	4.8%	80	4.6%	23	3.8%	246	6.3%	272	4.7%	326	5.8%
Kwun Tong	359	6.9%	236	13.5%	42	7.0%	174	4.4%	401	6.9%	410	7.2%
Tsuen Wan	270	5.2%	72	4.1%	41	6.8%	376	9.6%	311	5.4%	448	7.9%
Tuen Mun	310	6.0%	113	6.5%	34	5.6%	304	7.8%	344	5.9%	417	7.4%
Yuen Long	354	6.8%	89	5.1%	53	8.8%	442	11.3%	407	7.0%	531	9.4%
North	153	2.9%	37	2.1%	17	2.8%	142	3.6%	170	2.9%	179	3.2%
Tai Po	213	4.1%	175	10.0%	25	4.1%	72	1.8%	238	4.1%	247	4.4%
Sai Kung	412	7.9%	117	6.7%	36	6.0%	402	10.3%	448	7.7%	519	9.2%
Sha Tin	622	12.0%	154	8.9%	65	10.8%	196	5.0%	687	11.8%	350	6.2%
Kwai Tsing	278	5.3%	123	7.1%	37	6.1%	228	5.8%	315	5.4%	351	6.2%
Islands	126	2.4%	3	0.2%	10	1.7%	19	0.5%	136	2.3%	23	0.4%
Total	5,203	100.0%	1,744	100.0%	603	100.0%	3,918	100.0%	5,806	100.0%	5,663	100.0%
Missing case(s)	60		12		9		49		69		61	

Political inclination:	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weight	ed sample	Raw sample		Weight	Weighted sample		sample	Weight	ed sample
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,046	57.9%	1,088	61.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3,046	51.8%	1,088	19.0%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	7.7%	487	12.3%	47	0.8%	487	8.5%
Localist	2,217	42.1%	669	38.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,217	37.7%	669	11.7%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	213	34.8%	1,411	35.6%	213	3.6%	1,411	24.7%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
No political inclination /												
politically neutral / don't	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	352	57.5%	2,070	52.2%	352	6.0%	2,070	36.2%
belong to any camp												
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	5,263	100.0%	1,756	100.0%	612	100.0%	3,968	100.0%	5,875	100.0%	5,724	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp: *	Pro-	democracy	camp sup	porters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw	sample	Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted samp	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	5,015	95.7%	899	51.4%	358	58.7%	529	13.4%	5,373	91.8%	1,428	25.0%
Non-democratic	13	0.2%	153	8.8%	118	19.3%	1,783	45.1%	131	2.2%	1,937	34.0%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to	152	2.9%	287	16.4%	101	16.6%	1,097	27.8%	253	4.3%	1,384	24.3%
answer												
Not a registered voter	63	1.2%	410	23.4%	33	5.4%	542	13.7%	96	1.6%	952	16.7%
Total	5,243	100.0%	1,749	100.0%	610	100.0%	3,952	100.0%	5,853	100.0%	5,701	100.0%

^{*(}Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	6,192
Survey period	10-13/10/2021
Success rate	6.9%
Questionnaires sent out	90,289
Questionnaires received	6,650
Ineligible samples	83
Invalid samples	1
Incomplete samples	374
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.7%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q1 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you	Pro-democracy	camp supporters	Non-pro-democra	cy camp supporters #	Т	`otal														
with the results of the Election Committee Subsector Elections held on	Percentages		Perc	entages	Percentages															
September 19?	(Base=	=1,756)	(Base	e=3,968)	(Base=5,724)															
Very much satisfied Somewhat satisfied Satisfied	3.9% 0%	}3.9%	11.1% 12.7% }23.8%		8.9% 8.8%	}17.7%														
Half-half	1.8%		13	7.3%	12.6%															
Somewhat dissatisfied {	14.0%	}66.4%	9.6%	}34.4%	11.0%	}44.2%														
Very much dissatisfied	52.4%	,	24.7%	J ,	33.2%	,, .														
Don't know / hard to say	27	.9%	24	4.5%	2:	5.5%														
Total	100	0.0%	10	00.0%	10	0.0%														
Mean values	1.5		1.5		1.5		2.7			2.3										
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<	<0.1	<	<0.1														
Median	1.0			3.0 2.0		2.0														
Refuse to answer	<1		<1		<1		<1		<1		<1		<1		<1		<1			<1
Net value (Satisfied- Dissatisfied)	-62	.5%	-10	0.6%	-26.5%															

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

			camp supporters	Non-pro-democra	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		`otal
Q2 How would you rate the credibility of the Electoral Affairs Commission?		Percentages		Perc	Percentages		entages
the Electoral Finants Commit	BBIOII.	(Base=1,756)		(Base	e=3,968)	(Base=5,724)	
Very high Somewhat high	}High	3.9% 2.9%	}6.8%	13.3% 17.6%	}30.9%	10.4% 13.1%	}23.5%
Half-half		4.2%		16.1%		12.4%	
Somewhat low	}Low	18.0%	}83.6%	6.8%	}42.3%	10.2%	}55.0%
Very low	}L0W	65.6%	}63.0 <i>7</i> 0	35.5%	35.5%	44.7%	j <i>55</i> .070
Don't know / hard to say		5.4%		10.7%		9.1%	
Total		100	0.0%	10	0.0%	10	0.0%
Mean values		1	1.5	2.6		2.2	
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1		<	<0.1
Median		1.0		3.0			1.0
Refuse to answer		<1		0			<1
Net value (High- Low)		-76	5.8%	-1	1.4%	-3	1.5%

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q3 Are you a registered voter in the	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
Legislative Council election in	Percentages Percentages		Percentages
December?	(Base=1,756)	(Base=3,968)	(Base=5,724)
Yes	74.8%	81.2%	79.2%
No	19.7%	12.0%	14.3%
Don't know / hard to say	5.5%	6.9%	6.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	<1	<1	0

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4a Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4a If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [Mainstream democrats cannot run in the election]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,493)	(Base=4,904)
More likely to vote	3.6%	6.4%	5.6%
No effect	18.1%	54.6%	44.1%
Less likely to vote	73.9%	34.7%	46.0%
Don't know / hard to say	4.4%	4.3%	4.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	475	820

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4b Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4b If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [Localists cannot run in the election]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,493)	(Base=4,904)
More likely to vote	3.5%	10.2%	8.3%
No effect	16.7%	54.8%	43.9%
Less likely to vote	76.1%	30.1%	43.3%
Don't know / hard to say	3.7%	4.9%	4.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	475	820

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4c Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4c If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [Almost all candidates are from the pro-establishment camp]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,477)	(Base=4,888)
More likely to vote	3.5%	5.4%	4.9%
No effect	12.6%	49.4%	38.8%
Less likely to vote	80.2%	41.6%	52.8%
Don't know / hard to say	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	491	836

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4d Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4d If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [The pandemic has got worse]	(Base=1,407)	(Base=3,443)	(Base=4,850)
More likely to vote	0.5%	1.9%	1.5%
No effect	71.5%	53.4%	58.7%
Less likely to vote	27.7%	35.1%	32.9%
Don't know / hard to say	0.3%	9.5%	6.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	349	525	874

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4e Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4e If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [There is a high-profile police presence on election day]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,493)	(Base=4,904)
More likely to vote	3.7%	11.3%	9.1%
No effect	34.8%	58.5%	51.6%
Less likely to vote	54.6%	29.4%	36.6%
Don't know / hard to say	6.9%	0.9%	2.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	475	820

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4f Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4f If the following are to happen, would	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [The government calls on people to vote through various promotion channels]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,493)	(Base=4,904)
More likely to vote	4.9%	21.3%	16.6%
No effect	50.9%	62.2%	59.0%
Less likely to vote	40.5%	9.8%	18.6%
Don't know / hard to say	3.6%	6.6%	5.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	475	820

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4g Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4g If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [SAR government officials call on people to vote]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,493)	(Base=4,904)
More likely to vote	3.5%	14.4%	11.3%
No effect	48.2%	64.8%	60.0%
Less likely to vote	44.7%	15.5%	23.9%
Don't know / hard to say	3.6%	5.2%	4.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	475	820

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4h Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/10/2021

Q4h If the following are to happen,	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
December? [Central government officials such as those from the Liaison Office call on people to vote]	(Base=1,411)	(Base=3,493)	(Base=4,904)
More likely to vote	3.5%	10.0%	8.1%
No effect	34.4%	60.3%	52.9%
Less likely to vote	54.9%	25.1%	33.7%
Don't know / hard to say	7.2%	4.6%	5.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	345	475	820

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

HKPOP

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (10-13/10/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

*Required

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- o Yes
- o No

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en *

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- o Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

(New member) Email used / will be used in registration: *

We Hongkongers Series

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the results of the Election Committee Subsector Elections held on September 19?

- Very much satisfied
- o Somewhat satisfied
- o Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

How would you rate the credibility of the Electoral Affairs Commission?

(Note: The Chairman of the Electoral Affairs Commission is Mr Fung Wah)

- Very high
- o Somewhat high
- o Half-half
- o Somewhat low
- o Very low
- o Don't know / hard to say

Are you a registered voter in the Legislative Council election in December?

- Yes
- o No ☐ Skip the next question
- Don't know / hard to say

If the following would happen, would they affect your likelihood to vote in the Legislative Council election in December?

(Randomise the following)

	More likely	No	Less likely	Don't know /
	to vote	effect	to vote	hard to say
Mainstream democrats cannot run				
in the election				
Localists cannot run in the election				
Almost all candidates are from				
the pro-establishment camp				
The pandemic has got worse				
There is a high-profile police presence				
on election day				
The government calls on people to vote through				
various promotion channels				
SAR government officials				
call on people to vote				
Central government officials such as those from the				
Liaison Office call on people to vote				

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- o 0% chance (Certainly not) o 20% chance o 70% chance
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) 25% chance 80% chance

0	0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)	0	30% chance o	90% chance
0	0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)	0	35% chance o	100% chance (Certainly will)
0	1% chance (1 in 100)	0	40% chance o	% chance
0	5% chance (1 in 20)	0	45% chance o	Don't know / hard to say
0	10% chance (1 in 10)	0	50% chance	
0	15% chance	0	60% chance	

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- o Somewhat satisfied
- o Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- o Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?

O	
0	Don't know / hard to sa

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much	Somewhat	Half-	Somewhat	Very much	Don't know /
	satisfied	satisfied	half	dissatisfied	dissatisfied	hard to say
Political						
condition						
Economic						
condition						
Livelihood						
condition						

Other Opinions

I	o vou	have ar	iv survev	question 1	to suggest f	for our	surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- o Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- o Male
- o Female
- o Other

Age

0		_ years old ∘	40 - 44
0	12 - 14	0	45 - 49
0	15 - 17	0	50 - 54
0	18 - 19	0	55 - 59
0	20 - 24	0	60 - 64
0	25 - 29	0	65 - 69
0	30 - 34	0	70 or above
0	35 - 39		

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- o Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- o Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- o Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- o Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- o Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- o Production worker
- o Student
- o Home-maker / housewife
- o Retired person
- o Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- o Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- o Pro-establishment camp
- o Other:
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- o Don't know / hard to say

Area of residence

0	Central & Western District of)	Kowloon City	<i>y</i> 0	North District
0	Wan Chai)	Wong Tai Sir	10	Tai Po
0	Eastern District o)	Kwun Tong	0	Sai Kung
0	Southern District)	Tsuen Wan	0	Sha Tin
0	Yau Tsim Mong)	Tuen Mun	0	Kwai Tsing
0	Sham Shui Po)	Yuen Long	0	Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- o Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- o Don't know / hard to say
- o Blank / void vote
- o Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- o Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.