PORI

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香 港 民 意 研 究 所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program
of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 108 cum "We Hongkongers" Research Report No. 73

Survey Date: 25 to 28 October 2021

Release Date: 29 October 2021

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 108 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 73 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The theme of this report is "Government anti-epidemic policy".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey:

<u>Table 1: Detailed Contact Information</u>

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	25 October, 3pm – 28 October, 3pm
Total sample size	5,713
Response rate	6.4%
Sampling error [1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the "HKPOP Panel" recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses "HKPOP Panel" as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

$$\text{Success rate} = \frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}} \times 100.0\%$$

$$= \frac{5{,}713}{89{,}830} \times 100.0\%$$

$$= 6.4\%$$

.

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:
- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:
- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:
- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:
- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank / void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1a Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 25-28/10/2021

Q1a How much do you support or oppose the government in **mandating the installation and use of "LeaveHomeSafe"**?	**Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,870)**	**Non-pro-democracy camp supporters[2] (Base=580)**	**Combined[3] (Base=5,450)**
Strongly support ⎱ **Support**	3% ⎱ 6%	38% ⎱ **56%**	28% ⎱ 41%
Somewhat support	3%	17%	13%
Half-half	6%	10%	9%
Somewhat oppose ⎱ **Oppose**	14% ⎱ **88%**	5% ⎱ 34%	8% ⎱ **50%**
Strongly oppose	**74%**	29%	42%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	<1%	<1%
Mean[4]	1.5	3.3	2.8

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of support level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1a Combined chart, by camp type

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q1b Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 25-28/10/2021

Q1b How much do you support or oppose the government in **implementing a mandatory "health code" system**?	**Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,871)**		**Non-pro-democracy camp supporters[5] (Base=580)**		**Combined[6] (Base=5,451)**	
Strongly support } **Support**	1%	} 5%	28%	} **50%**	20%	} **36%**
Somewhat support	3%		22%		16%	
Half-half	10%		10%		10%	
Somewhat oppose } **Oppose**	8%	} **85%**	8%	} 38%	8%	} **52%**
Strongly oppose	**77%**		30%		44%	
Don't know / hard to say	<1%		2%		1%	
Mean[7]	1.4		3.1		2.6	

[5]   Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6]   The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[7]   The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of support level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q1b Combined chart, by camp type

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

**Table 5: Q1c Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 25-28/10/2021**

Q1c How much do you support or oppose the government in **mandating COVID-19 vaccination for the population**?		**Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4 869)**		**Non-pro-democracy camp supporters[8] (Base=580)**		**Combined[9] (Base=5,449)**	
Strongly support	} **Support**	1%	} 5%	25%	} **51%**	18%	} 37%
Somewhat support		4%		25%		19%	
Half-half		21%		18%		19%	
Somewhat oppose	} **Oppose**	18%	} **74%**	11%	} 30%	13%	} 43%
Strongly oppose		**55%**		19%		30%	
Don't know / hard to say		<1%		1%		1%	
Mean[10]		1.8		3.3		2.8	

[8]   Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[9]   The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[10] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of support level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 3: Q1c Combined chart, by camp type

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,685	55.1%	761	47.9%	326	56.2%	1,729	46.9%	3,011	55.2%	2,490	47.2%
Female	2,181	44.8%	823	51.8%	253	43.6%	1,959	53.1%	2,434	44.6%	2,782	52.7%
Other	7	0.1%	4	0.2%	1	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	8	0.1%	4	0.1%
Total	4,873	100.0%	1,588	100.0%	580	100.0%	3,688	100.0%	5,453	100.0%	5,276	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	708	14.5%	434	27.3%	46	7.9%	433	11.8%	754	13.8%	868	16.4%
30 - 39	1,177	24.2%	272	17.1%	97	16.7%	545	14.8%	1,274	23.4%	817	15.5%
40 - 49	1,201	24.7%	222	14.0%	134	23.1%	634	17.2%	1,335	24.5%	856	16.2%
50 - 59	1,102	22.6%	428	27.0%	159	27.4%	1,167	31.7%	1,261	23.1%	1,596	30.2%
60 or above	683	14.0%	232	14.6%	144	24.8%	908	24.6%	827	15.2%	1,140	21.6%
Total	4,871	100.0%	1,588	100.0%	580	100.0%	3,688	100.0%	5,451	100.0%	5,276	100.0%
Missing case(s)	2		<1		0		0		2		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	7	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	1	0.2%	1	<0.1%	8	0.1%	1	<0.1%
Secondary	745	15.3%	597	37.6%	141	24.4%	1,311	35.6%	886	16.3%	1,908	36.2%
Tertiary or above	4,118	84.6%	989	62.4%	437	75.5%	2,375	64.4%	4,555	83.6%	3,364	63.8%
Total	4,870	100.0%	1,586	100.0%	579	100.0%	3,687	100.0%	5,449	100.0%	5,273	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3		3		1		<1		4		3	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	1,944	40.0%	122	7.7%	203	35.1%	1,089	29.5%	2,147	39.5%	1,211	23.0%
Clerks and service workers	1,323	27.2%	516	32.6%	149	25.8%	967	26.2%	1,472	27.1%	1,484	28.2%
Workers	102	2.1%	43	2.7%	18	3.1%	105	2.9%	120	2.2%	149	2.8%
Students	182	3.7%	318	20.0%	14	2.4%	286	7.8%	196	3.6%	604	11.5%
Home-makers / housewives	213	4.4%	194	12.2%	13	2.2%	45	1.2%	226	4.2%	239	4.5%
Others	1,095	22.5%	393	24.8%	181	31.3%	1,192	32.3%	1,276	23.5%	1,585	30.1%
Total	4,859	100.0%	1,586	100.0%	578	100.0%	3,685	100.0%	5,437	100.0%	5,270	100.0%
Missing case(s)	14		3		2		3		16		5	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	211	4.4%	25	1.6%	27	4.7%	140	3.8%	238	4.4%	166	3.2%
Wan Chai	112	2.3%	7	0.5%	18	3.1%	155	4.2%	130	2.4%	163	3.1%
Eastern	495	10.2%	60	3.8%	76	13.2%	317	8.7%	571	10.6%	377	7.2%
Southern	172	3.6%	82	5.2%	24	4.2%	129	3.5%	196	3.6%	212	4.0%
Yau Tsim Mong	192	4.0%	56	3.5%	22	3.8%	184	5.0%	214	4.0%	240	4.6%
Sham Shui Po	255	5.3%	80	5.1%	30	5.2%	240	6.6%	285	5.3%	321	6.1%
Kowloon City	251	5.2%	63	4.0%	24	4.2%	291	8.0%	275	5.1%	353	6.8%
Wong Tai Sin	253	5.2%	114	7.2%	28	4.9%	136	3.7%	281	5.2%	250	4.8%
Kwun Tong	343	7.1%	228	14.5%	39	6.8%	126	3.4%	382	7.1%	353	6.8%
Tsuen Wan	242	5.0%	50	3.2%	31	5.4%	191	5.2%	273	5.1%	241	4.6%
Tuen Mun	298	6.2%	123	7.8%	31	5.4%	243	6.7%	329	6.1%	367	7.0%
Yuen Long	322	6.7%	101	6.4%	49	8.5%	361	9.9%	371	6.9%	462	8.8%
North	148	3.1%	38	2.4%	16	2.8%	112	3.1%	164	3.0%	150	2.9%
Tai Po	207	4.3%	147	9.4%	28	4.9%	107	2.9%	235	4.3%	254	4.9%
Sai Kung	391	8.1%	108	6.8%	30	5.2%	405	11.1%	421	7.8%	513	9.8%
Sha Tin	568	11.8%	101	6.4%	54	9.4%	242	6.6%	622	11.5%	343	6.6%
Kwai Tsing	260	5.4%	179	11.4%	35	6.1%	243	6.6%	295	5.5%	422	8.1%
Islands	110	2.3%	12	0.8%	12	2.1%	31	0.8%	122	2.3%	43	0.8%
Total	4,830	100.0%	1,576	100.0%	574	100.0%	3,653	100.0%	5,404	100.0%	5,229	100.0%
Missing case(s)	43		12		6		35		49		47	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,809	57.6%	997	62.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,809	51.5%	997	18.9%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40	6.9%	504	13.7%	40	0.7%	504	9.6%
Localist	2,064	42.4%	591	37.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,064	37.9%	591	11.2%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	193	33.3%	1,161	31.5%	193	3.5%	1,161	22.0%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	347	59.8%	2,023	54.9%	347	6.4%	2,023	38.3%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	4,873	100.0%	1,588	100.0%	580	100.0%	3,688	100.0%	5,453	100.0%	5,276	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp: *	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	4,652	95.8%	780	49.1%	353	61.3%	433	11.8%	5,005	92.1%	1,213	23.1%
Non-democratic	11	0.2%	118	7.4%	106	18.4%	1,724	47.1%	117	2.2%	1,842	35.1%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	133	2.7%	339	21.4%	90	15.6%	1,146	31.3%	223	4.1%	1,485	28.3%
Not a registered voter	61	1.3%	351	22.1%	27	4.7%	360	9.8%	88	1.6%	711	13.5%
Total	4,857	100.0%	1,588	100.0%	576	100.0%	3,663	100.0%	5,433	100.0%	5,251	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	5,713
Survey period	25-28/10/2021
Success rate	6.4%
Questionnaires sent out	89,830
Questionnaires received	5,945
Ineligible samples	69
Invalid samples	1
Incomplete samples	162
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	3.9%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

<u>Q1a Survey results; Survey period: 25-28/10/2021</u>

Q1a How much do you support or oppose the government in ……? [mandating the installation and use of "LeaveHomeSafe"]		Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
		Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
		(Base=1,588)		(Base=3,688)		(Base=5,276)	
Strongly support	**}Support**	3.3%	}6.3%	38.0%	}55.5%	27.6%	}40.7%
Somewhat support		3.0%		17.5%		13.1%	
Half-half		5.8%		10.2%		8.9%	
Somewhat oppose	**}Oppose**	14.0%	}87.9%	5.4%	}34.3%	8.0%	}50.4%
Strongly oppose		73.9%		28.9%		42.5%	
Don't know / hard to say		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values		1.5		3.3		2.7	
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median		1.0		4.0		2.0	
Refuse to answer		*<1*		*0*		*<1*	
Net value (Support- Oppose)		**-81.6%**		**+21.2%**		**-9.7%**	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q1b Survey results; Survey period: 25-28/10/2021

Q1b How much do you support or oppose the government in ……? [implementing a mandatory "health code" system]	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages (Base=1,588)		Percentages (Base=3,688)		Percentages (Base=5,276)	
Strongly support }**Support**	1.3%	}4.5%	27.7%	}49.8%	19.7%	}36.2%
Somewhat support	3.3%		22.1%		16.4%	
Half-half	10.4%		10.4%		10.4%	
Somewhat oppose }**Oppose**	7.9%	}85.1%	7.9%	}37.8%	7.9%	}52.0%
Strongly oppose	77.2%		29.8%		44.1%	
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%		2.0%		1.4%	
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	1.4		3.1		2.6	
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median	1.0		4.0		2.0	
Refuse to answer	*<1*		*0*		*<1*	
Net value (Support- Oppose)	**-80.5%**		**+12.0%**		**-15.8%**	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q1c Survey results; Survey period: 25-28/10/2021

Q1c How much do you support or oppose the government in ……? [mandating COVID-19 vaccination for the population]		Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
		Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
		(Base=1,588)		(Base=3,688)		(Base=5,276)	
Strongly support	**}Support**	1.3%	}5.3%	25.3%	}50.6%	18.1%	}37.0%
Somewhat support		4.0%		25.3%		18.9%	
Half-half		21.0%		18.1%		19.0%	
Somewhat oppose	**}Oppose**	18.4%	}73.7%	11.3%	}30.0%	13.5%	}43.2%
Strongly oppose		55.3%		18.7%		29.7%	
Don't know / hard to say		0.0%		1.3%		0.9%	
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values		1.8		3.3		2.8	
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median		1.0		4.0		3.0	
Refuse to answer		*<1*		*0*		*<1*	
Net value (Support- Oppose)		**-68.4%**		**+20.6%**		**-6.2%**	

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (25-28/10/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

*Required

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *

o   Yes

o   No

We Hongkongers Series

How much do you support or oppose the government in ……?
(Randomise the three policies)

	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Half-half	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Don't know / hard to say
mandating the installation and use of "LeaveHomeSafe"						
implementing a mandatory "health code" system						
mandating COVID-19 vaccination for the population						

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?
(Please select the closest answer)

o   0% chance (Certainly not)   o   20% chance   o   70% chance

o   0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) o   25% chance   o   80% chance

o   0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)   o   30% chance   o   90% chance

- o 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- o 1% chance (1 in 100)
- o 5% chance (1 in 20)
- o 10% chance (1 in 10)
- o 15% chance

- o 35% chance
- o 40% chance
- o 45% chance
- o 50% chance
- o 60% chance

- o 100% chance (Certainly will)
- o _____ % chance
- o Don't know / hard to say

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- o Very much satisfied
- o Somewhat satisfied
- o Half-half
- o Somewhat dissatisfied
- o Very much dissatisfied
- o Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?

- o _____
- o Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current …… in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

_____

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

_____

Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

o   I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)

o   Provide / update personal information now

Gender

o   Male

o   Female

o   Other

Age

o _____ years old	o 40 - 44
o 12 - 14	o 45 - 49
o 15 - 17	o 50 - 54
o 18 - 19	o 55 - 59
o 20 - 24	o 60 - 64
o 25 - 29	o 65 - 69
o 30 - 34	o 70 or above
o 35 - 39	

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

o   Primary or below

o   Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)

o   Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)

o   Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)

o   Tertiary: bachelor degree course

o   Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

o   Administrator and professional

- o   Clerical and service worker
- o   Production worker
- o   Student
- o   Home-maker / housewife
- o   Retired person
- o   Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- o   Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- o   Localist
- o   Pro-democracy camp
- o   Centrist
- o   Pro-establishment camp
- o   Other: _____
- o   No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- o   Don't know / hard to say

Area of residence

o Central & Western District	o Kowloon City	o North District
o Wan Chai	o Wong Tai Sin	o Tai Po
o Eastern District	o Kwun Tong	o Sai Kung
o Southern District	o Tsuen Wan	o Sha Tin
o Yau Tsim Mong	o Tuen Mun	o Kwai Tsing
o Sham Shui Po	o Yuen Long	o Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- o   Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- o   Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- o   Don't know / hard to say
- o   Blank / void vote
- o   Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- o   Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.