HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

"We Hongkongers" Panel Survey
Latest Results
January 14, 2022

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

限聚指數 Group Gathering Prohibition Index 14/1/2022

樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

		-/-
	2	- 11
	1	- 11
		//
\		// .
	\equiv	

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	17/12 15:00 – 27/12 15:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員,並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	5,063
回應比率 Response rate	5.7%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95%置信水平,百分比誤差+/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字; 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果;3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字,以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

限聚指數

Group Gathering Prohibition Index


4

- 最新調查日期 Latest survey date: 17-27/12/2021 (N=5,063)
- 上次調查日期 Last survey date: 23-29/11/2021 (N=5,888)
- 上上次調查日期 Second last survey date: 18-25/10/2021 (N=5,974)

意見題目 **Opinion Questions** 你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」? Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong? 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」 Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally 不應該,應視乎疫情而定 No, it should depend on the epidemic situation 不知道/很難說 Don't know / hard to say [追問沒有選擇應該"無條件撤銷「限聚令」"者] [For respondents NOT answering "Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally"] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於2人? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人? to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人? to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people? 你認為感染個案清零多少天後, 限聚令應該全面撤銷? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate 請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的[個案數及限聚人數]組合..... to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people? After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether? Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



限聚指數 - 分析評論 Group Gathering Prohibition Index - Commentary

獨立評論人潘麒智指出:「特區政府對Omicron變種病毒帶來的第五波疫情如臨大敵,全民檢測處處皆見,6點後晚市停業,香港儼如死城,直接影響經濟活動和市民生計。本人再次建議政府研究與病毒共存方案,勿再危城自困,盡快回復市面活動,讓市民重過正常生活。」

Independent commentator KC Poon observed, "The government is facing the fifth wave of the Omicron virus epidemic as if it were a major enemy. With universal testing everywhere and the market closed after 6pm, Hong Kong is like a dead city, directly affecting economic activities and people's livelihood. I once again suggest that the government should study the coexistence plan with the virus, so as not to trap itself in a dangerous city, and return to market activities as soon as possible, so that people can lead a normal life again."

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Community Democracy Project Community Health Module
Latest Results
January 14, 2022

Contact Information - Community Health Module


8	

	HKPOP Panel
Date of survey	January 10, 3pm – January 13, 3pm
Survey method	Online survey
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+
Total sample size	5,969
Response rate	6.7%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.

- Latest survey period: 10-13/1/2022 (N=5,955)
- Last survey period: 20/12/2021-10/1/2022 (N=8,341)

		Don't know / hard to say	Average
Q1 How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale]	Latest	21%	12% 🛕*
	Last	24%	11%

[^] Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.



[^] Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

• Latest survey period: 10-13/1/2022 (N=5,941)

• Last survey period: 20/12/2021-10/1/2022 (N=8,352)

		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean [†]
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?	Latest	18% \\ *	17% ▲*	63 % ▲*	2.1▼*
	Last	24%	15%	58%	2.2

[^] Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say † The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

12)

Appraisal of HK Government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia



[^] Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

"We Hongkongers" Panel Survey
Latest Results
January 14, 2022

Contact Information - "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey

1	4	
=		

	HKPOP Panel
Date of survey	January 10, 3pm – January 13, 3pm
Survey method	Online survey
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+
Total sample size	5,969
Response rate	6.7%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.


- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q1 How likely do you think the government's new round of anti-epidemic measures can prevent an outbreak in the community?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,904)		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=626)		Combined (n=5,950)	
Very likely	4%	60/	7%	33%	6%	23%
Somewhat likely	3%	6%	26%	33%	18%	23%
Half-half	29%		36%		32%	
Somewhat unlikely	25%	629/	14%	28%	18%	41%
Very unlikely	37%	62%	14%	28%	23%	41%
Don't know / hard to say	3%		3%		4%	
Mean [†]	2.	1	3.0		2.6	

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[†] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of likeliness, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q1 How likely do you think the government's new round of anti-epidemic measures can prevent an outbreak in the community?




^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q2 How much does the government's new round of anti-epidemic measures affect your daily life?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,914)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=624)	Combined (n=5,958)	
Highly affected	49%	25%	33%	
Somewhat affected	33% - 99%	38% 99%	36% 99%	
Slightly affected	16%	37%	30%	
Not affected	1%	1%	1%	
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	<1%	<1%	

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

- <u>Latest survey period: 10-13/1/2022</u>
- Question: Q2 How much does the government's new round of anti-epidemic measures affect your daily life?



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

- Latest survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q3 Which of the following do you think best describes the government's current antiepidemic measures?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,910)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=624)	Combined (n=5,954)
Measures are necessary and timely	4%	29%	19%
Measures are too strict and cause inconvenience	16%	9%	12%
Measures are too lax and have loopholes	2%	20%	14%
Measures are contradictory and do not make sense	77%	38%	50%
Don't know / hard to say	2%	4%	4%

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q3 Which of the following do you think best describes the government's current antiepidemic measures?



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q4 How much importance do you currently attach to the advice and opinions of antiepidemic experts?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,919)		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=626)		Combined (n=5,965)	
Very important	4%	1.40/	5%	46%	5%	34%
Somewhat important	10%	14%	41%	40%	29%	34%
Half-half	34%		32%		33%	
Somewhat unimportant	29%	51%	12%	21%	18%	32%
Very unimportant	22%	3170	9%	21%	14%	32%
Don't know / hard to say	1%		<1%		2%	
Mean [†]	2.4		3.2		2.9	

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[†] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of importance, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q4 How much importance do you currently attach to the advice and opinions of antiepidemic experts?




^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

- Latest survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q5 Has the level of importance you attach to the advice and opinions of anti-epidemic experts changed compared to the beginning of the epidemic?

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,923)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=626)	Combined (n=5,969)
More important	6%	11%	9%
Less important	66%	34%	46%
No change	27%	52%	41%
Don't know / hard to say	1%	3%	3%

^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

- <u>Latest</u> survey period: 10-13/1/2022
- Question: Q5 Has the level of importance you attach to the advice and opinions of anti-epidemic experts changed compared to the beginning of the epidemic?



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp