



Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 141 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 99

Survey Date: 10 June to 15 June 2022

Release Date: 17 June 2022

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) and opened to the world. HKPORI proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: <https://www.pori.hk/research-reports-en/wehkers.html?lang=en>.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 141 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 99 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The theme of this survey is on "Youth Matters".

HKPORI sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	10 June, 3pm – 15 June, 3pm
Total sample size	4,797
Response rate	5.6%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPORI uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPORI adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPORI normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPORI definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}}$	x 100.0%
=	$\frac{4,797}{85,799}$	x 100.0%
=	5.6%	

Weighting Procedure

HKPORI has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPORI adopts a “2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 5 by 4” weighting procedure involving six variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, appraisal of political condition and political inclination. Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPORI are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of appraisal of political condition and political inclination would match that of the general population in HKPORI’s regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPORI’s survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The appraisals of political condition used for weighting are as follows:

- Very satisfied
- Quite satisfied
- Half-half // Don’t know / hard to say // Refused to answer
- Quite dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

The political inclinations used for weighting are as follows:

- Pro-democracy camp
- Pro-establishment camp
- Localist
- Centrist // Other // No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp // Don’t know / hard to say // Refused to answer

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:


Table 3: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q1 How much / little do you think there is room for young people in Hong Kong to develop?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,085)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=473)	Combined (Base=4,792)
Very much	3%	10%	7%
Somewhat much	2%	24%	16%
Half-half	26%	36%	31%
Somewhat little	31%	16%	21%
Very little	35%	11%	21%
Don't know / hard to say	3%	2%	4%
Mean^[3]	2.1	3.1	2.7

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp.


Table 4: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q2 How much do you think the government cares about the views of young people when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,084)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[4] (Base=473)	Combined (Base=4,791)
Very much } Much	<1% } 1%	3% } 28%	2% } 18%
Somewhat much	1%	25%	15%
Half-half	16%	34%	27%
Somewhat little } Little	32% } 81%	22% } 37%	26% } 54%
Very little	49%	16%	28%
Don't know / hard to say	2%	1%	2%
Mean^[5]	1.7	2.8	2.4

[4] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[5] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp.


Table 5: Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q3 How much do you think the government cares about the views of the elderly when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,086)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[6] (Base=473)	Combined (Base=4,793)
Very much } Much	1% } 4%	1% } 14%	1% } 11%
Somewhat much	3%	13%	10%
Half-half	19%	41%	31%
Somewhat little } Little	39% } 75%	29% } 44%	31% } 54%
Very little	36%	15%	23%
Don't know / hard to say	3%	1%	3%
Mean^[7]	1.9	2.6	2.3

[6] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 3: Q3 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp.

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp.


Table 6: Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q4 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of young people in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,084)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[8] (Base=472)	Combined (Base=4,790)
Very well	1%	3%	2%
Somewhat well	<1%	19%	12%
Half-half	13%	34%	26%
Somewhat poor	34%	31%	31%
Very poor	46%	13%	25%
Don't know / hard to say	6%	2%	4%
Mean^[9]	1.7	2.7	2.3

[8] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[9] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 4: Q4 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp.


Table 7: Q5 Survey results; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q5 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of the elderly in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,089)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[10] (Base=473)	Combined (Base=4,796)
Very well	<1%	2%	1%
Somewhat well	4%	12%	9%
Half-half	14%	37%	29%
Somewhat poor	34%	31%	31%
Very poor	39%	14%	22%
Don't know / hard to say	8%	5%	7%
Mean^[11]	1.8	2.5	2.3

[10] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[11] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 5: Q5 Combine chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,370	57.9%	436	31.3%	294	62.2%	1,651	55.8%	2,787	58.1%	2,261	47.1%
Female	1,714	41.9%	957	68.7%	178	37.6%	1,294	43.7%	1,997	41.6%	2,521	52.5%
Other	6	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	1	0.2%	15	0.5%	13	0.3%	16	0.3%
Total	4,090	100.0%	1,394	100.0%	473	100.0%	2,960	100.0%	4,797	100.0%	4,797	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	643	15.7%	317	22.7%	34	7.2%	392	13.3%	727	15.2%	879	18.3%
30 - 39	1,045	25.6%	154	11.1%	95	20.1%	388	13.1%	1,195	24.9%	626	13.0%
40 - 49	978	23.9%	233	16.7%	107	22.6%	526	17.8%	1,151	24.0%	880	18.3%
50 - 59	894	21.9%	387	27.8%	115	24.3%	648	21.9%	1,054	22.0%	1,072	22.3%
60 or above	527	12.9%	303	21.7%	122	25.8%	1,006	34.0%	667	13.9%	1,341	28.0%
Total	4,087	100.0%	1,394	100.0%	473	100.0%	2,960	100.0%	4,794	100.0%	4,797	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3		<1		0		0		3		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	9	0.2%	25	1.8%	1	0.2%	9	0.3%	11	0.2%	38	0.8%
Secondary	612	15.0%	597	42.8%	115	24.3%	1,281	43.3%	753	16.0%	2,001	42.6%
Tertiary or above	3,463	84.8%	772	55.4%	357	75.5%	1,670	56.4%	3,937	83.7%	2,662	56.6%
Total	4,084	100.0%	1,394	100.0%	473	100.0%	2,960	100.0%	4,701	100.0%	4,701	100.0%
Missing case(s)	6		<1		0		0		96		96	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	1,654	40.5%	184	13.2%	163	34.5%	637	21.5%	1,854	39.5%	848	18.1%
Clerks and service workers	1,118	27.4%	485	34.8%	117	24.8%	909	30.7%	1,283	27.3%	1,526	32.5%
Workers	99	2.4%	31	2.2%	18	3.8%	102	3.4%	122	2.6%	138	2.9%
Students	176	4.3%	46	3.3%	10	2.1%	201	6.8%	193	4.1%	290	6.2%
Home-makers / housewives	147	3.6%	188	13.5%	14	3.0%	238	8.0%	164	3.5%	485	10.3%
Others	885	21.7%	458	32.9%	150	31.8%	874	29.5%	1,077	22.9%	1,406	30.0%
Total	4,079	100.0%	1,393	100.0%	472	100.0%	2,960	100.0%	4,693	100.0%	4,693	100.0%
Missing case(s)	11		1		1		<1		104		104	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,328	56.9%	954	68.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,328	49.5%	954	20.3%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36	7.6%	722	24.4%	36	0.8%	722	15.4%
Localist	1,762	43.1%	440	31.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,762	37.5%	440	9.4%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	167	35.3%	921	31.1%	167	3.6%	921	19.6%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	57	1.2%	73	1.5%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	270	57.1%	1,317	44.5%	270	5.7%	1,317	28.0%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	83	1.8%	268	5.7%
Total	4,090	100.0%	1,394	100.0%	473	100.0%	2,960	100.0%	4,703	100.0%	4,696	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		94		101	

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	4,797
Survey period	10-15/6/2022
Success rate	5.6%
Questionnaires sent out	85,799
Questionnaires received	5,058
Ineligible samples	69
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	192
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	1.4%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q1 How much / little do you think there is room for young people in Hong Kong to develop?	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
	(Base=4,085)		(Base=473)		(Base=4,792)	
Very much	3.3%		10.1%		7.3%	
Somewhat much	2.3%	}5.6%	24.2%	}34.3%	16.0%	}23.3%
Half-half		26.0%		36.1%		30.9%
Somewhat little	30.6%		15.9%		21.3%	
Very little	35.3%	}65.8%	11.4%	}27.2%	20.6%	}41.9%
Don't know / hard to say		2.6%		2.4%		3.8%
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%
Refuse to answer		5		0		5
Net value (Much room- Little room)		-60.2%		+7.1%		-18.6%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q2 How much do you think the government cares about the views of young people when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=4,084)	Percentages (Base=473)	Percentages (Base=4,791)
Very much	0.1%	3.4%	2.1%
Somewhat much	0.7%	24.7%	15.4%
Half-half	15.8%	34.1%	26.7%
Somewhat little	32.0%	21.6%	25.8%
Very little / not at all	49.4%	15.6%	28.2%
Don't know / hard to say	2.1%	0.5%	1.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	6	0	6
Net value (Care much- Care little)	-80.6%	-9.2%	-36.5%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q3 How much do you think the government cares about the views of the elderly when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=4,086)	Percentages (Base=473)	Percentages (Base=4,793)
Very much	0.7%	1.1%	1.1%
Somewhat much } Care much	3.1% } 3.8%	13.4% } 14.4%	10.3% } 11.4%
Half-half	18.8%	40.8%	31.5%
Somewhat little	38.8%	29.1%	31.1%
Very little / not at all } Care little	35.8% } 74.6%	14.6% } 43.7%	23.2% } 54.3%
Don't know / hard to say	2.8%	1.1%	2.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	4	0	4
Net value (Care much- Care little)	-70.8%	-29.3%	-42.9%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q4 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of young people in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=4,084)	Percentages (Base=472)	Percentages (Base=4,790)
Very well	0.6%	2.5%	1.7%
Somewhat well	1.0%	21.1%	13.5%
Half-half	13.0%	33.7%	26.3%
Somewhat poor	33.8%	30.6%	31.4%
Very poor	46.0%	43.4%	56.3%
Don't know / hard to say	6.2%	1.7%	3.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	6	1	7
Net value (Well- Poor)	-78.7%	-22.3%	-42.8%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q5 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-15/6/2022

Q5 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of the elderly in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages (Base=4,089)		Percentages (Base=473)		Percentages (Base=4,796)	
Very well	0.3%		1.6%		1.2%	
Somewhat well	3.7%	}4.0%	11.8%	}13.3%	9.0%	}10.2%
Half-half		14.4%		37.1%		29.1%
Somewhat poor	34.2%		30.7%		30.9%	
Very poor	39.2%	}73.5%	13.7%	}44.4%	22.5%	}53.4%
Don't know / hard to say		8.1%		5.1%		7.3%
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%
Refuse to answer		1		0		1
Net value (Well- Poor)		-69.4%		-31.1%		-43.2%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (10-15/6/2022)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers Series

How much / little do you think there is room for young people in Hong Kong to develop?

- Very much
- Somewhat much
- Half-half
- Somewhat little
- Very little
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you think the government cares about the views of young people when making policies?

- Very much
- Somewhat much
- Half-half
- Somewhat little
- Very little / not at all
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you think the government cares about the views of the elderly when making policies?

- Very much
- Somewhat much
- Half-half
- Somewhat little
- Very little
- Don't know / hard to say

How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of young people in public affairs?

- Very well
- Somewhat well
- Half-half
- Somewhat poor
- Very poor
- Don't know / hard to say

How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of the elderly in public affairs?

- Very well
- Somewhat well
- Half-half
- Somewhat poor
- Very poor
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

Have you ever contracted COVID-19?

- Yes
- No / don't know

How likely do you think it is that you will contract or re-contract COVID-19 over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> 0% chance (Certainly not) | <input type="radio"/> 20% chance | <input type="radio"/> 70% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) | <input type="radio"/> 25% chance | <input type="radio"/> 80% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000) | <input type="radio"/> 30% chance | <input type="radio"/> 90% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000) | <input type="radio"/> 35% chance | <input type="radio"/> 100% chance (Certainly will) |
| <input type="radio"/> 1% chance (1 in 100) | <input type="radio"/> 40% chance | <input type="radio"/> _____ % chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 5% chance (1 in 20) | <input type="radio"/> 45% chance | <input type="radio"/> Don't know / hard to say |
| <input type="radio"/> 10% chance (1 in 10) | <input type="radio"/> 50% chance | |
| <input type="radio"/> 15% chance | <input type="radio"/> 60% chance | |

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling COVID-19?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Personal Information

This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update ([skip questions on personal information](#))
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.