



Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111
Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361
Website 網址: <https://www.pori.hk>
Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang
地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南匯廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所

2022 年 9 月 27 日 新聞公報

香港民研發放司局長民望數字及 「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」之司長民望總結

特別宣佈

香港民意研究所（香港民研）前身為香港大學民意研究計劃（港大民研）。公報內的「香港民研」指的可以是香港民意研究所或其前身港大民研。

香港民研在六月初正式啟動「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」，已先後發表了官員民望、六四民情、身份認同、核心社會指標、政府民望、回歸周年調查、信任及信心指標、社會現況評價、兩岸政治人物民望、市民對各地人民及政府觀感、自由指標以及法治指標的總結，今個星期再總結司長民望，並將會在今年餘下時間和明年上半年繼續總結其他調查系列。另外，由今年七月開始，我們將定期民意調查的次數由每月兩次減至一次，自八月起，定期記招的次數亦縮減至每月兩次，改為投放更多資源進行公民教育工作。我們現已在網站開展了「民研快訊」的欄目，當中包括香港民研的最新消息和問與答，日後會繼續豐富欄目內容，並逐漸增加服務項目。除此之外，我們會在各大社交媒體（包括 Facebook, Instagram 和 Twitter）發放更多圖表和分析，歡迎追蹤。

公報簡要

香港民研於九月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式成功訪問了 1,002 名香港居民。

最新調查顯示，政務司司長陳國基的支持度評分為 46.8 分，民望淨值為正 13 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 58.4 分，民望淨值為正 39 個百分點。相比四個月前，其評分及民望淨值均大幅上升，並再創 2017 年 2 月有紀錄以來新高。律政司司長林定國的支持度評分為 45.9 分，民望淨值為正 12 個百分點。局長方面，全部十五位局長的民望淨值均為正數，排名最高的是保安局局長鄧炳強，最低的則是教育局局長蔡若蓮。對比約三個月前，三位原位續任的局長，即鄧炳強、曾國衛和許正宇的支持率淨值均錄得顯著上升。

調查的實效回應比率為 48.6%。在 95% 置信水平下，調查的百分比誤差不超過 +/-4%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-8%，評分誤差不超過 +/-2.1。

樣本資料

調查日期	:	5-9/9/2022
調查方法	:	由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問
訪問對象	:	18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民

成功樣本數目 ^[1]	: 1,002 (包括 503 個固網及 499 個手機樣本)
實效回應比率	: 48.6%
抽樣誤差 ^[2]	: 在 95% 置信水平下，百分比誤差不超過 +/-4%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-8%，評分誤差不超過 +/-2.1
加權方法	: 按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二一年年中人口數字》，而教育程度（最高就讀程度）及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統計數字》（2021 年版）。

[1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目，個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。

[2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95% 置信水平計算。95% 置信水平，是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次，則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差，傳媒引用百分比數字時，應避免使用小數點，在引用評分數字時，則可以使用一個小數點。

最新數據

以下是各問責司長的最新民望數字：

調查日期	29/10-3/11/21	3-6/1/22	23/2/22 ^[3]	7-11/3/22	30/4-6/5/22	5-9/9/22	最新變化
樣本數目	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	579-660	1,002	--
回應比率	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	41.5%	48.6%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
政務司司長李家超							
政務司司長評分 ^[4]	36.5	36.9	--	34.8	--	46.8+/-2.1	--
出任政務司司長支持率 ^[4]	29%	28%	--	24%	--	26+/-3%	--
出任政務司司長反對率 ^[4]	35%	38%	--	38%	--	13+/-2%	--
支持率淨值 ^[4]	-6%	-10%	--	-14%	--	13+/-4%	--
財政司司長陳茂波							
財政司司長評分	44.2	42.3	47.6 ^[5]	44.7	50.3 ^[5]	58.4+/-1.8	+8.0 ^[5]
出任財政司司長支持率	31%	34%	36%	36%	42% ^[5]	55+/-3%	+13% ^[5]
出任財政司司長反對率	29% ^[5]	31%	30%	26%	16% ^[5]	17+/-2%	+1%
支持率淨值	2%	3%	6%	11%	27% ^[5]	39+/-5%	+12% ^[5]
律政司司長鄭若驊							
律政司司長評分	28.8	24.9 ^[7]	--	26.6	30.3 ^[5]	45.9+/-2.0	--
出任律政司司長支持率	14%	14%	--	11%	12%	29+/-3%	--
出任律政司司長反對率	53%	52%	--	50%	45%	17+/-2%	--
支持率淨值	-39%	-38%	--	-39%	-32%	12+/-4%	--

[3] 調查為財政預算案即時調查，只問及財政司司長評分及支持率。

[4] 李家超已於 2022 年 4 月初辭任政務司司長。

[5] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

調查顯示，政務司司長陳國基的支持度評分為 46.8 分，其支持率為 26%，反對率為 13%，民望淨值為正 13 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 58.4 分，支持率為 55%，反對率為 17%，民望淨值為正 39 個百分點。相比四個月前，其評分及民望淨值均大幅上升，並再

創 2017 年 2 月有紀錄以來新高。律政司司長林定國的支持度評分為 45.9 分，其支持率為 29%，反對率為 17%，民望淨值為正 12 個百分點。

以下是各局長的最新民望數字，按支持率淨值排列^[6]：

調查日期	29/11-3/12/21	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	5-9/9/22	最新變化
樣本數目	601-636	575-596	569-599	505-516	--
回應比率	44.9%	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
鄧炳強出任保安局局長支持率	40%	38%	44% ^[7]	53+/-4%	+9% ^[7]
鄧炳強出任保安局局長反對率	39%	39%	34%	28+/-4%	-6% ^[7]
支持率淨值	1%	-1%	10% ^[7]	25+/-8%	+15% ^[7]
曾國衛出任政制及內地事務局局長支持率	27%	23%	25%	39+/-4%	+14% ^[7]
曾國衛出任政制及內地事務局局長反對率	34% ^[7]	27% ^[7]	24%	16+/-3%	-8% ^[7]
支持率淨值	-7%	-4%	1%	22+/-6%	+22% ^[7]
孫玉菡出任勞工及福利局局長支持率	--	--	--	31+/-4%	--
孫玉菡出任勞工及福利局局長反對率	--	--	--	12+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	19+/-6%	--
丘應樺出任商務及經濟發展局局長支持率	--	--	--	28+/-4%	--
丘應樺出任商務及經濟發展局局長反對率	--	--	--	10+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	19+/-5%	--
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長支持率	17% ^[7]	17%	21%	27+/-4%	+7% ^[7]
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長反對率	22% ^[7]	15% ^[7]	15%	9+/-3%	-7% ^[7]
支持率淨值	-5% ^[7]	2% ^[7]	5%	19+/-5%	+13% ^[7]
楊何蓓茵出任公務員事務局局長支持率	--	--	--	27+/-4%	--
楊何蓓茵出任公務員事務局局長反對率	--	--	--	8+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	18+/-5%	--
盧寵茂出任醫務衛生局局長支持率	--	--	--	41+/-4%	--
盧寵茂出任醫務衛生局局長反對率	--	--	--	24+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	17+/-7%	--
林世雄出任運輸及物流局局長支持率	--	--	--	25+/-4%	--
林世雄出任運輸及物流局局長反對率	--	--	--	9+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	15+/-5%	--
謝展寰出任環境及生態局局長支持率	--	--	--	24+/-4%	--
謝展寰出任環境及生態局局長反對率	--	--	--	9+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	15+/-5%	--
麥美娟出任民政及青年事務局局長支持率	--	--	--	41+/-4%	--
麥美娟出任民政及青年事務局局長反對率	--	--	--	26+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	15+/-7%	--

調查日期	29/11-3/12 /21	7-11/3/2 2	31/5-5/6/ 22	5-9/9/22	最新變化
樣本數目	601-636	575-596	569-599	505-516	--
回應比率	44.9%	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
甯漢豪出任發展局局長支持率	--	--	--	22+/-4%	--
甯漢豪出任發展局局長反對率	--	--	--	9+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	13+/-5%	--
何永賢出任房屋局局長支持率	--	--	--	26+/-4%	--
何永賢出任房屋局局長反對率	--	--	--	13+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	13+/-6%	--
孫東出任創新科技及工業局局長支持率	--	--	--	24+/-4%	--
孫東出任創新科技及工業局局長反對率	--	--	--	14+/-3%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	10+/-6%	--
楊潤雄出任文化體育及旅遊局局長支持率	--	--	--	36+/-4%	--
楊潤雄出任文化體育及旅遊局局長反對率	--	--	--	26+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	9+/-7%	--
蔡若蓮出任教育局局長支持率	--	--	--	32+/-4%	--
蔡若蓮出任教育局局長反對率	--	--	--	32+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	--	--	--	1+/-7%	--

[6] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

[7] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

局長方面，全部十五位局長的民望淨值均為正數，排名由高至低分別是保安局局長鄧炳強、政制及內地事務局局長曾國衛、勞工及福利局局長孫玉菡、商務及經濟發展局局長丘應樺、財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇、公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵、醫務衛生局局長盧寵茂、運輸及物流局局長林世雄、環境及生態局局長謝展寰、民政及青年事務局局長麥美娟、發展局局長甯漢豪、房屋局局長何永賢、創新科技及工業局局長孫東、文化體育及旅遊局局長楊潤雄和教育局局長蔡若蓮。對比約三個月前，三位原位續任的局長，即鄧炳強、曾國衛和許正宇的支持率淨值均錄得顯著上升。

根據香港民研的標準，沒有官員屬於「表現理想」，陳茂波及鄧炳強屬於「表現成功」，盧寵茂、麥美娟、曾國衛、楊潤雄及蔡若蓮屬於「表現一般」，孫玉菡、林定國、丘應樺、許正宇、楊何蓓茵、陳國基、何永賢、林世雄、孫東、謝展寰及甯漢豪屬於「表現不彰」，沒有官員屬於「表現失敗」或「表現拙劣」。

以下是各司局長民望級別總表：

「表現理想」：支持率超過 66% 者，以支持率排名 ^[8] ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現成功」：支持率超過 50% 者，以支持率排名 ^[8] ，即括弧內數字
財政司司長陳茂波（55%）
保安局局長鄧炳強（53%）

「表現一般」：非其他五類者，以支持率排名 ^[8] ，即括弧內數字
醫務衛生局局長盧寵茂（41%） 民政及青年事務局局长麥美娟（41%） 政制及內地事務局局長曾國衛（39%） 文化體育及旅遊局局长楊潤雄（36%） 教育局局長蔡若蓮（32%）
「表現不彰」：認知率不足 50%者，以支持率排名 ^[8] ，括弧內第一數字為支持率，第二數字為認知率
勞工及福利局局长孫玉菡（31%，43%） 律政司司長林定國（29%，46%） 商務及經濟發展局局长丘應樺（28%，38%） 財經事務及庫務局局长許正宇（27%，36%） 公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵（27%，35%） 政務司司長陳國基（26%，40%） 房屋局局长何永賢（26%，39%） 運輸及物流局局长林世雄（25%，34%） 創新科技及工業局局长孫東（24%，38%） 環境及生態局局长謝展寰（24%，33%） 發展局局长甯漢豪（22%，31%）
「表現失敗」：反對率超過 50%者，以反對率排名 ^[8] ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現拙劣」：反對率超過 66%者，以反對率排名 ^[8] ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員

[8] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

民意日誌


香港民研於 2007 年開始與慧科訊業有限公司合作，由慧科訊業按照香港民研設計的分析方法，將每日大事紀錄傳送至香港民研，經香港民研核實後成為「民意日誌」。

由於本新聞公報所涉及的部分調查項目，上次調查日期為 30/4-6/5/2022，而今次調查日期則為 5-9/9/2022，因此是次公報中的「民意日誌」項目便以上述日期為依歸，讓讀者作出比較。以涵蓋率不下 25%本地報章每日頭條新聞和報社評論計，在上述期間發生的相關大事包括以下事件，讀者可以自行判斷有關事件有否影響各項民調數字：

12/8/22	政府宣佈展開為期 3 個月的打擊衛生黑點行動
8/8/22	政府公佈最新抵港檢疫安排及紅黃碼措拖
7/8/22	政府發放第二期電子消費券
12/7/22	政府批出 3 個新巴士專營權，城巴新巴合併
11/7/22	政府宣佈周五起所有家居隔離人士須佩戴電子手環 安心出行程式將推出紅黃健康碼
10/7/22	政府研推行安心出行實名制
6/7/22	李家超宣佈成立 4 個工作組以處理跨代貧窮、公營房屋、土地及地區事務
14/6/22	政府宣佈市民到酒吧須快測陰性
13/6/22	政府公佈第二階段消費券計劃詳情
9/6/22	立法會三讀通過取消強積金對沖的修訂條例草案
18/5/22	政府公佈薪酬趨勢調查報告

17/5/22	行政會議通過政府架構重組方案
9/5/22	李家超與林鄭月娥會面討論交接及政府架構事宜

「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」：歷年各司長評分



政務司司長方面，評分於 2011 年下半年急跌，其後於 2012 年下半年回升，直至 2019 年下半年再度大跌，其後回升。

財政司司長方面，評分於 2003 年下半年大跌後立刻於 2004 年上半年反彈，並大約維持於 50 分至 65 分之間，直至 2017 年下半年後再度大跌，並於 2019 年下半年達至歷史低位，隨後拾級而上。

律政司司長方面，評分於 2005 年下半年開始躍升，但在 2012 年下半年開始回落，直至 2019 年下半年大跌並達至歷史低位，然後逐步回升。

本星期，我們會在社交平台（包括 Facebook, Instagram 和 Twitter）陸續發放更多相關總結圖表和深入分析。

下次新聞公報／發佈會（暫定）

[新聞公報] 10 月 11 日（星期二）下午二時三十分
待定

[發佈會] 10 月 14 日（星期五）下午二時三十分
限聚指數及我們香港人



Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111

Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: <https://www.pori.hk>

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南匯廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

Press Release on September 27, 2022

PORI releases popularity figures of principal officials and wrap up on popularity of Secretaries of Departments under “One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review”

Special Announcements

The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (PORI) was The Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “PORI” in this release can refer to Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute or its predecessor HKUPOP.

PORI launched the “One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review” in early June and has already released reviews on the popularity of officials, June Fourth Incident, ethnic identity, core social indicators, government popularities, handover anniversaries, trust and confidence indicators, people’s appraisal of society’s current conditions, popularity of cross-strait political figures, people’s feelings towards different peoples and governments, freedom indicators, as well as rule of law indicators. This week, we release our review on popularity figures of Secretaries of Departments. More reviews of other survey series will be released during the rest of this year and the first half of the next. Meanwhile, starting from July, we have reduced the frequency of our tracking surveys from twice to once a month, and from August onwards, our regular press conferences will also be reduced to twice a month in order to conserve our resources for civic education work. We have already launched our “PORI Express” column in our website, including latest news of PORI and Q&A. We will continue to enrich its content, then add more services. In addition, we will release infographics with more charts and analyses on our social media platforms (including Facebook, Instagram and Twitter), welcome to follow.

Abstract

PORI successfully interviewed 1,002 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers in early September.

Our latest survey shows that the support rating of CS Eric Chan is 46.8 marks, and his net popularity is positive 13 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 58.4 marks, and his net popularity is positive 39 percentage points. Both his rating and net approval rate have greatly increased compared to four months ago, registering new highs since records began in February 2017. As for SJ Paul Lam, his support rating is 45.9 marks, while his net popularity stands at positive 12 percentage points. As for the Directors of Bureaux, all 15 Directors have got positive net approval rates. Secretary for Security Chris Tang ranks the highest while Secretary for Education Christine Choi ranks the lowest. Compared to around three months ago, the net approval rates of the 3 Directors who remain in the same office, namely Chris Tang, Erick Tsang and Christopher Hui, have all increased significantly.

The effective response rate of the survey is 48.6%. The maximum sampling error of percentages is +/-4%, that of net values is +/-8% and that of ratings is +/-2.1 at 95% confidence level.

Contact Information

Date of survey	: 5-9/9/2022
Survey method	: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
Target population	: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
Sample size ^[1]	: 1,002 (including 503 landline and 499 mobile samples)
Effective response rate	: 48.6%
Sampling error ^[2]	: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-8% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.1 at 95% conf. level
Weighting method	: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2021”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2021 Edition)”.

[1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

[2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

Latest figures

Recent popularity figures of the Secretaries of Departments under the accountability system are summarized below:

Date of survey	<u>29/10-3/11/21</u>	<u>3-6/1/2</u>	<u>23/2/22</u> ^[3]	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>30/4-6/5/22</u>	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	579-660	1,002	--
Response rate	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	41.5%	48.6%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	--
	CS John Lee					CS Eric Chan	
Rating of CS ^[4]	36.5	36.9	--	34.8	--	46.8+/-2.1	--
Vote of confidence in CS ^[4]	29%	28%	--	24%	--	26+/-3%	--
Vote of no confidence in CS ^[4]	35%	38%	--	38%	--	13+/-2%	--
Net approval rate ^[4]	-6%	-10%	--	-14%	--	13+/-4%	--

Date of survey	<u>29/10-3/11/21</u>	<u>3-6/1/22</u>	<u>23/2/22</u>	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>30/4-6/5/22</u>	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	579-660	1,002	--
Response rate	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	41.5%	48.6%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	--
	FS Paul Chan						
Rating of FS	44.2	42.3	47.6 ^[5]	44.7	50.3 ^[5]	58.4+/-1.8	+8.0^[5]
Vote of confidence in FS	31%	34%	36%	36%	42% ^[5]	55+/-3%	+13%^[5]
Vote of no confidence in FS	29% ^[5]	31%	30%	26%	16% ^[5]	17+/-2%	+1%
Net approval rate	2%	3%	6%	11%	27% ^[5]	39+/-5%	+12%^[5]
	SJ Teresa Cheng					SJ Paul Lam	
Rating of SJ	28.8	24.9 ^[7]	--	26.6	30.3 ^[5]	45.9+/-2.0	--
Vote of confidence in SJ	14%	14%	--	11%	12%	29+/-3%	--
Vote of no confidence in SJ	53%	52%	--	50%	45%	17+/-2%	--
Net approval rate	-39%	-38%	--	-39%	-32%	12+/-4%	--

[3] The survey was the Budget instant poll and only asked about the rating of FS and vote of confidence in him.

[4] John Lee resigned as Chief Secretary in early April 2022.

[5] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Our latest survey shows that the support rating of CS Eric Chan is 46.8 marks. His approval rate stands at 26%, disapproval rate 13%, giving a net popularity of positive 13 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 58.4 marks. His approval rate stands at 55%, disapproval rate 17%, thus a net popularity of positive 39 percentage points. Both his rating and net approval rate have greatly increased compared to four months ago, registering new highs since records began in February 2017. As for SJ Paul Lam, his support rating is 45.9 marks. His approval rate stands at 29%, disapproval rate 17%, giving a net popularity of positive 12 percentage points.

Latest popularity figures of Directors of Bureaux sorted by net approval rates^[6] are summarized below:

Date of survey	<u>29/11-3/12/21</u>	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>31/5-5/6/22</u>	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	601-636	575-596	569-599	505-516	--
Response rate	44.9%	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	40%	38%	44% ^[7]	53+/-4%	+9%^[7]
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	39%	39%	34%	28+/-4%	-6%^[7]
Net approval rate	1%	-1%	10% ^[7]	25+/-8%	+15%^[7]

Date of survey	29/11-3/12/21	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	5-9/9/22	<i>Latest change</i>
Sample size	601-636	575-596	569-599	505-516	--
Response rate	44.9%	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	27%	23%	25%	39+/-4%	+14%^[7]
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	34% ^[7]	27% ^[7]	24%	16+/-3%	-8%^[7]
Net approval rate	-7%	-4%	1%	22+/-6%	+22%^[7]
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun	--	--	--	31+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun	--	--	--	12+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	19+/-6%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau	--	--	--	28+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau	--	--	--	10+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	19+/-5%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	17% ^[7]	17%	21%	27+/-4%	+7%^[7]
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	22% ^[7]	15% ^[7]	15%	9+/-3%	-7%^[7]
Net approval rate	-5% ^[7]	2% ^[7]	5%	19+/-5%	+13%^[7]
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung	--	--	--	27+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung	--	--	--	8+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	18+/-5%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau	--	--	--	41+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau	--	--	--	24+/-4%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	17+/-7%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung	--	--	--	25+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung	--	--	--	9+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	15+/-5%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan	--	--	--	24+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan	--	--	--	9+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	15+/-5%	--

Date of survey	<u>29/11-3/12</u> <u>/21</u>	<u>7-11/3/2</u> <u>2</u>	<u>31/5-5/6/</u> <u>22</u>	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	601-636	575-596	569-599	505-516	--
Response rate	44.9%	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak	--	--	--	41+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak	--	--	--	26+/-4%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	15+/-7%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn	--	--	--	22+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn	--	--	--	9+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	13+/-5%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho	--	--	--	26+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho	--	--	--	13+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	13+/-6%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong	--	--	--	24+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong	--	--	--	14+/-3%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	10+/-6%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung	--	--	--	36+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung	--	--	--	26+/-4%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	9+/-7%	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Education Christine Choi	--	--	--	32+/-4%	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Education Christine Choi	--	--	--	32+/-4%	--
Net approval rate	--	--	--	1+/-7%	--

[6] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

[7] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, all 15 Directors have got positive net approval rates, ranked from high to low are Secretary for Security Chris Tang, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang, Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun, Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau, Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui, Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung, Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau, Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung, Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan, Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak, Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn, Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho, Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong, Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung and

Secretary for Education Christine Choi. Compared to around three months ago, the net approval rates of the 3 Directors who remain in the same office, namely Chris Tang, Erick Tsang and Christopher Hui, have all increased significantly.

According to PORI’s standard, no one falls under the category of “ideal” performer; Paul Chan and Chris Tang falls under the category of “successful” performer. The performance of Lo Chung-mau, Alice Mak, Erick Tsang, Kevin Yeung and Christine Choi can be labeled as “mediocre”. That of Chris Sun, Paul Lam, Algernon Yau, Christopher Hui, Ingrid Yeung, Eric Chan, Winnie Ho, Lam Sai-hung, Sun Dong, Tse Chin-wan and Bernadette Linn can be labeled as “inconspicuous”. No one falls into the category of “depressing” or “disastrous” performer.

The following table summarizes the grading of principal officials:

“Ideal”: those with approval rates of over 66%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets^[8]
Nil
“Successful”: those with approval rates of over 50%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets^[8]
FS Paul Chan (55%) Secretary for Security Chris Tang (53%)
“Mediocre”: those not belonging to other 5 types; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets^[8]
Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau (41%) Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak (41%) Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang (39%) Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung (36%) Secretary for Education Christine Choi (32%)
“Inconspicuous”: those with recognition rates of less than 50%; ranked by their approval rates^[8]; the first figure inside bracket is approval rate while the second figure is recognition rate
Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun (31%, 43%) SJ Paul Lam (29%, 46%) Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau (28%, 38%) Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui (27%, 36%) Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung (27%, 35%) CS Eric Chan (26%, 40%) Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho (26%, 39%) Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung (25%, 34%) Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong (24%, 38%) Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan (24%, 33%) Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn (22%, 31%)
“Depressing”: those with disapproval rates of over 50%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets^[8]
Nil
“Disastrous”: those with disapproval rates of over 66%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets^[8]
Nil

[8] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.


Opinion Daily

In 2007, PORI started collaborating with Wisers Information Limited whereby Wisers supplies to PORI a record of significant events of that day according to the research method designed by PORI. These daily entries would then become “Opinion Daily” after they are verified by PORI.

For some of the polling item covered in this press release, the previous survey was conducted from 30 April to 6 May, 2022 while this survey was conducted from 5 to 9 September, 2022. During this period, herewith the significant events selected from counting newspaper headlines and commentaries on a daily basis and covered by at least 25% of the local newspaper articles. Readers can make their own judgment if these significant events have any impacts to different polling figures.

12/8/22	The government announces a three-month crackdown on hygiene blackspots.
8/8/22	The government announces new quarantine arrangements for arrivals and introduces new health code system.
7/8/22	The government disburses the second batch of consumption e-vouchers.
12/7/22	The government grants three new bus franchises; Citybus and NWFB are merged.
11/7/22	The government announces that all residents under home quarantine must wear an electronic bracelet starting from Friday; LeaveHomeSafe mobile app will feature red and yellow health codes.
10/7/22	The government mulls real-name LeaveHomeSafe registration.
6/7/22	John Lee establishes four task forces to handle cross-generational poverty, public housing, land supply and district affairs.
14/6/22	The government requires citizens to present a negative RAT test before entering a bar.
13/6/22	The government announces details of the second batch of Consumption Voucher Scheme.
9/6/22	The Legislative Council passes the third reading on the amendment bill on abolishing offsetting arrangement under Mandatory Provident Fund.
18/5/22	The government releases the 2022 Pay Trend Survey Report.
17/5/22	Executive Council endorses the proposals of reorganizing government structure.
9/5/22	John Lee meets Carrie Lam to discuss transitional arrangements and the preparatory works of re-organising government structure.

“One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review”: Ratings of Secretaries of Departments over the years



For the CS, the rating plunged in the second half of 2011, then rebounded in the second half of 2012 till second half of 2019, then it started to fall again and climbed up afterwards.

As for the FS, the rating plunged in the second half of 2003 but rebounded immediately in the first half of 2004, and remained around 50-65 marks for a long time until it plunged again in the second half of 2017, and reached an all-time low in the second half of 2019, then picked up.

As for the SJ, the rating started to leap in the second half of 2005, but started to drop in the second half of 2012, until the second half of 2019 it plunged to a record low, and then resumed gradually.

This week, we will continue to release more summary charts and in-depth analysis on our social media platforms (including Facebook, Instagram and Twitter).

Upcoming Press Releases / Press Conferences (Tentative)

[Press Release] October 11 (Tuesday) at 14:30
To be confirmed

[Press Conference] October 14 (Friday) at 14:30
GGPI and We Hongkongers